arXiv:1604.01660v2 [math.AP] 20 Jan 2017

LOCAL-EXISTENCE FOR THE INHOMOGENEOUS MUSKAT PROBLEM

TANIA PERNAS-CASTAÑO

Abstract. In this work we study the evolution of the interface between two different fluids in a porous media with two different permeabilities. We prove local existence in Sobolev spaces, when the free boundary is given by the discontinuity among the densities and viscosities of the fluids.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the evolution of the interface between two different incompressible fluids with different viscosities and densities, in a porous medium where the permeability is a two dimensional step function. The velocity of a fluid in a porous media is given by the Darcy's law:

$$\frac{\mu}{\kappa}u = -\nabla p - (0, g\rho)$$

where $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $u = (u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t))$ is the incompressible velocity (i.e. $\nabla \cdot u = 0$), $p = p(x,t)$ is the pressure, $\mu = \mu(x)$ is the dynamic viscosity, $\kappa = \kappa(x)$ is the permeability of the isotropic medium, $\rho = \rho(x)$ is the liquid density and g is the acceleration due to gravity. The free boundary is caused by the discontinuity between the densities and viscosities of the fluids; the quantities (μ, ρ) are defined by

$$(\mu,\rho)(x_1,x_2) := \begin{cases} (\mu^1,\rho^1) & x \in \Omega_1(t) \\ (\mu^2,\rho^2) & x \in \Omega_2(t) \cup \Omega_3 = \mathbb{R}^2 - \Omega^1(t) \end{cases}$$

where μ^1, ρ^1, μ^2 and ρ^2 are constants. And, in this work we study the case where the permeability $\kappa(x)$ is a step function separating two regions with different values of the permeability:

$$\kappa(x_1,x_2) := \begin{cases} \kappa^1 & x \in \Omega_1(t) \cup \Omega_2(t) = \mathbb{R}^2 - \Omega_3 \\ \kappa^2 & x \in \Omega_3 \end{cases}$$



Figure 1. Inhomogeneous Muskat Problem

We parametrize the interface between two fluids by a curve

$$z(\alpha,t)=\{(z_1(\alpha,t),z_2(\alpha,t)):\alpha\in\mathbb{R}\}$$

and

$$h(\alpha)=\{(h_1(\alpha),h_2(\alpha)):\alpha\in\mathbb{R}\}$$

for the curve, fixed on time, which separates two different regions with different permeability (see Figure 1). We are going to consider that these two curves don't touch each other initially.

Using Darcy's law we can see that the vorticity is nule inside the different regions, so we can consider in distributions sense:

$$\omega(x,t)=\varpi_1(\alpha,t)\delta(x-z(\alpha,t))+\varpi_2(\alpha,t)\delta(x-h(\alpha)).$$

Using the Biot-Savart law we know that

$$\begin{aligned}u(x,t)&=\frac{1}{2\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(x-z(\beta,t))^{\perp}}{|x-z(\beta,t)|^2}\varpi_1(\beta,t)d\beta+\frac{1}{2\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(x-h(\beta))^{\perp}}{|x-h(\beta)|^2}\varpi_2(\beta,t)d\beta\\&\equiv BR(\varpi_1,z)_x+BR(\varpi_2,h)_x.\end{aligned}$$

If we calculate directional limits in the normal direction of $z(\alpha,t)$ and $h(\alpha)$:

$$u^{\pm}(z(\alpha,t),t)=BR(\varpi_1,z)_z(\alpha,t)+BR(\varpi_2,h)_z(\alpha,t)\mp\frac{1}{2}\frac{\varpi_1(\alpha,t)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha,t)|^2}\partial_\alpha z(\alpha,t)$$

$$u^{\pm}(h(\alpha),t)=BR(\varpi_1,z)_h(\alpha,t)+BR(\varpi_2,h)_h(\alpha,t)\mp\frac{1}{2}\frac{\varpi_2(\alpha,t)}{|\partial_\alpha h(\alpha)|^2}\partial_\alpha h(\alpha)$$

Since $p^+(z(\alpha,t),t)=p^-(z(\alpha,t),t)$ (see [8]) we have,

$$\frac{\mu^2}{\kappa^1}u^+(z(\alpha,t),t)-\frac{\mu^1}{\kappa^1}u^-(z(\alpha,t),t)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha,t)=-g(\rho^2-\rho^1)\partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha,t)$$

Using the above limits,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mu^2}{\kappa^1}u^+(z(\alpha,t),t)-\frac{\mu^1}{\kappa^1}u^-(z(\alpha,t),t)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha,t)=\\&=\frac{\mu^2-\mu^1}{\kappa^1}(BR(\varpi_1,z)_z+BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha,t)+\frac{\mu^2-\mu^1}{2\kappa^1}\varpi_1(\alpha,t)\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

(1)

$$\varpi_1(\alpha,t)=-2\frac{\mu^2-\mu^1}{\mu^2+\mu^1}(BR(\varpi_1,z)_z+BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha,t)-2\kappa^1\frac{\rho^2-\rho^1}{\mu^2+\mu^1}g\partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha,t).$$

For $\varpi_2(\alpha,t)$ we proceed in the same way. Since $p^+(h(\alpha),t)=p^-(h(\alpha),t)$ then,

$$\mu^2\Big(\frac{u^-(h(\alpha),t)}{\kappa^2}-\frac{u^+(h(\alpha),t)}{\kappa^1}\Big)\cdot\partial_\alpha h(\alpha)=-\partial_\alpha(p^-(h(\alpha),t)-p^+(h(\alpha),t))=0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mu^2\Big(\frac{u^-(h(\alpha),t)}{\kappa^2}-\frac{u^+(h(\alpha),t)}{\kappa^1}\Big)\cdot\partial_\alpha h(\alpha)=\\&=\frac{\mu^2}{\kappa^2-\kappa^1}(BR(\varpi_1,z)_h+BR(\varpi_2,h)_h)\cdot\partial_\alpha h(\alpha)+\frac{\mu^2}{2(\kappa^2-\kappa^1)}\varpi_2(\alpha,t).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\varpi_2(\alpha,t)=-2\frac{\kappa^1-\kappa^2}{\kappa^2+\kappa^1}(BR(\varpi_1,z)_h+BR(\varpi_2,h)_h)\cdot\partial_\alpha h(\alpha).\tag{2}$$

We consider our curve with the following periodic conditions,

$$(z_1(\alpha+2k\pi,t),z_2(\alpha+2k\pi,t))=(z_1(\alpha,t)+2k\pi,z_2(\alpha,t))$$

with $z(\alpha,0)=z_0(\alpha)$. We will add tangential term in order to get $|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha,t)|^2 \equiv A(t)$. For that we take,

$$\begin{aligned} c(\alpha,t) =& \frac{\alpha+\pi}{2\pi A(t)}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha z(\beta,t)\cdot\partial_\alpha(BR(\varpi_1,z)_z + BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)d\beta \\ & - \int_{-\pi}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial_\alpha z(\beta,t)}{A(t)}\cdot\partial_\alpha(BR(\varpi_1,z)_z + BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)d\beta \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{T}=[-\pi,\pi]$. Finally, the system which describes our problem is:

$$(P)\begin{cases} z_t(\alpha,t) = BR(\varpi_1,z)_z(\alpha,t) + BR(\varpi_2,h)_z(\alpha,t) + c(\alpha,t)\partial_\alpha z(\alpha,t) \\ c(\alpha,t) = \frac{\alpha+\pi}{2\pi A(t)}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha z(\beta,t)\cdot\partial_\alpha(BR(\varpi_1,z)_z + BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)d\beta \\ -\int_{-\pi}^{\alpha}\frac{\partial_\alpha z(\beta,t)}{A(t)}\cdot\partial_\alpha(BR(\varpi_1,z)_z + BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)d\beta \\ \varpi_1(\alpha,t) = -2\frac{\mu^2-\mu^1}{\mu^2+\mu^1}(BR(\varpi_1,z)_z + BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha,t) \\ -2\kappa^1\frac{\rho^2-\rho^1}{\mu^2+\mu^1}g\partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha,t) \\ \varpi_2(\alpha,t) = -2\frac{\kappa^1-\kappa^2}{\kappa^2+\kappa^1}(BR(\varpi_1,z)_h + BR(\varpi_2,h)_h)\cdot\partial_\alpha h(\alpha) \end{cases}$$

For the stability of the problem we consider the Rayleigh-Taylor condition. Rayleigh [12] and Saffman-Taylor [13] gave this condition which must be satisfied for the linearized model in order to have a solution locally in time, namely that the normal component of the pressure gradient jump at the interface has to have a distinguished sign. This condition can be written as

$$\sigma(\alpha,t) = \frac{\mu^2-\mu^1}{\kappa^1}(BR(\varpi_1,z)_z + BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha) + (\rho^2-\rho^1)g\partial_\alpha z_1(\alpha) > 0.$$

Using Hopf's lemma, the Rayleigh-Taylor condition is satisfied for $\mu^1=\rho^1=0$ (see [2]). For the case of equal viscosities ($\mu^1=\mu^2$), this condition holds when the more dense fluid lies below the interface [9].

We will focus on the existence of classical solution locally in time in Sobolev spaces for the stable regime. There is a vast literature about these problems. In the case with $\kappa(x)\equiv$ **constant**, this stability has been used to prove local existence in Sobolev spaces, when $\mu^1\neq\mu^2$ and $\rho^1\neq\rho^2$, in [8]. Taking the initial data on H^2 with $\mu^1=\rho^1=0$, local existence has been proved on [4]. When $\mu^1=\mu^2$ local existence and instant analyticity in the stable case are available, see [3] and [9]. For small data, the fact that $\sigma>0$ has been used to prove global existence as we can check in [6], [14], [10], [11] and [5]. For improvements for local and global existence for the case with finite slope, see [7].

For the case where $\kappa(x)$ is a step function, the authors in [1] prove local existence in Sobolev spaces by means of energy methods when the system is in the stable regime and the two fluids have the same viscosities ($\mu^1=\mu^2$). In this scenario, moreover, they consider $h(\alpha)=(\alpha,-h_2)$, with $h_2>0$. Then the formula for the strength of the vorticities are simpler

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_1(\alpha,t) &= -(\rho^2-\rho^1)\partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha,t), \\ \varpi_2(\alpha,t) &= \frac{\kappa^1-\kappa^2}{\kappa^1+\kappa^2}\frac{\kappa^1(\rho^2-\rho^1)}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{h_2+z_2(\alpha,t)}{|h(\alpha)-z(\beta)|^2}\partial_\alpha z_2(\beta,t)d\beta. \end{aligned}$$

In our case, with different viscosities, the expressions (1) and (2) involves the Birkhoff-Rott integrals, so we find a delicate issue, we need to invert an operator.

Finally we introduce the functions that measures the arc-chord condition of the curves and the distance beetween both:

$$\mathcal{F}(z)(\alpha,\beta,t) = \frac{\beta^2}{|z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2}, \quad \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{R}$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}(z)(\alpha,0,t)=\frac{1}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha,t)|^2};$$

and

$$d(z,h)=\frac{1}{|z(\alpha,t)-h(\beta)|^2},\quad \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{R}.$$

The main theorem of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $z_0(\alpha)\in H^k(\mathbb{T})$ for $k\geq 3$, $h(\alpha)\in H^k(\mathbb{T})$, $\mathcal{F}(z_0)(\alpha,\beta)\in L^\infty$, $\mathcal{F}(h)(\alpha,\beta)\in L^\infty$ and $d(z_0,h)\in L^\infty$. Then, if the Rayleigh-Taylor condition is satisfied, there exists a classical solution of the Muskat problem (P), $z\in\mathcal{C}^1([0,T],H^k(\mathbb{T}))$ where $T=T(z_0)$.*

In order to prove this theorem we have organized the paper as follows.

We devote section 2 to the study of the operator

$$\mathcal{T}(u_1,u_2)(\alpha)=\begin{pmatrix}T_1 & T_2\\ T_3 & T_4\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}u_1\\ u_2\end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}T_1(u)(\alpha)&=2BR(u,z)_z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha),\\ T_2(u)(\alpha)&=2BR(u,h)_z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha),\\ T_3(u)(\alpha)&=2BR(u,z)_h(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha h(\alpha),\\ T_4(u)(\alpha)&=2BR(u,h)_h(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha h(\alpha);\end{aligned}$$

In this section we want to estimate the $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$-norm of the $(I+M\mathcal{T})^{-1}$ for $M=\begin{pmatrix}\mu_1 & 0\\ 0 & \mu_2\end{pmatrix}$ with $|\mu_i|\leq 1$ for $i=1,2$. The main obstacle in this problem is to found some operators in order to estimate the L^2-norm of the inverse operator.

Once we have the above estimates, we are qualified to estimate the strength of the vorticities and the Birkhoff-Rott integrals. These estimations can be found in sections 3 and 4.

For the purpose of study the local existence of classical solutions in Sobolev Spaces, we will use energy methods. For that we will need to obtain several a priori estimates for the curve $z(\alpha,t)$ with regularity H^k for $k\geq 3$. We present these technical computations in section 5.

The other tools which we will need to show the estimates of the evolution of our energy, are the study of the evolution of the distance between z and h and the evolution of the minimum of the R-T condition. Sections 6 and 7 are dedicated to that.

Finally, after all these computations, in section 8 we follow the classical procedure and show the main theorem 1.1.

2. Inverse Operator

2.1. **The basic operator.** Let us consider the operator

$$\mathcal{T}(u_1,u_2)(\alpha)=\begin{pmatrix}T_1 & T_2\\ T_3 & T_4\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}u_1\\ u_2\end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}T_1(u)(\alpha)&=2BR(u,z)_z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\\ T_2(u)(\alpha)&=2BR(u,h)_z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\\ T_3(u)(\alpha)&=2BR(u,z)_h(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha h(\alpha)\\ T_4(u)(\alpha)&=2BR(u,h)_h(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha h(\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that* $\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$, $\|\mathcal{F}(h)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$, $\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ *and* $z \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}$, $h \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}$. *Then* $\mathcal{T} : L^2 \times L^2 \to H^1 \times H^1$ *is compact and*

$$\|\mathcal{T}\|_{L^2\times L^2 \to H^1 \times H^1} \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty}\|d(z,h)\|^2_{L^\infty}\|z\|^4_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathcal{T}(w)(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ T_3 & T_4 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_1(u) + T_2(v) \\ T_3(u) + T_4(v) \end{pmatrix}$$

and we consider $\|(u,v)\|_{L^2} = \|u\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^2}$, then

$$\|\mathcal{T}(w)\|_{L^2} = \|T_1(u) + T_2(v)\|_{L^2} + \|T_3(u) + T_4(v)\|_{L^2}$$

We want to estimate $\|\partial_\alpha \mathcal{T}(w)\|_{L^2}$. Since

$$\|\partial_\alpha T_1(u) + \partial_\alpha T_2(v)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\partial_\alpha T_1(u)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_\alpha T_2(v)\|_{L^2},$$
$$\|\partial_\alpha T_3(u) + \partial_\alpha T_4(v)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\partial_\alpha T_3(u)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_\alpha T_4(v)\|_{L^2},$$

it is enough to estimate each T_i for $i = 1,2,3,4$ separately.

Operator T_1 and T_4 are exactly the same as the operator T on [8]. Therefore, by lemma 3.1 on [8] we have:

$$\|\partial_\alpha T_1(u)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty}\|z\|^4_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}\|u\|_{L^2},$$
$$\|\partial_\alpha T_4(v)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(h)\|^2_{L^\infty}\|h\|^4_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}\|v\|_{L^2}.$$

Let us estimate operator T_2 and T_3. We write first,

$$\partial_\alpha T_2(v) = \frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha\Big(\frac{(z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\Big)v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\partial_\alpha v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \equiv I_1 + I_2.$$

Then, using $\Delta z h = z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta)$ in order to reduce notation,

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\partial_\alpha z(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial^2_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta$$
$$- \frac{2}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta z h)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)(\Delta z h)\cdot\partial_\alpha \Delta z h}{|z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta)|^4}v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta$$
$$\equiv I_1^1 + I_1^2 + I_1^3.$$

Since $\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)^\perp = 0$ we have,

$$I_1^1 = -\frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_\alpha h(\alpha-\beta)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_\alpha z\|_{L^\infty}\|h\|_{H^1}\|v\|_{L^2}.$$

Using the Cauchy inequality it is easy to get $u\cdot v \leq \frac{|u|^2}{2} + \frac{|v|^2}{2}$, then

$$I_1^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta + \frac{1}{2\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\partial^2_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}{|z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta$$
$$\leq C\|v\|_{L^2} + C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|^2_{\mathcal{C}^2}\|v\|_{L^2}$$

$$|I_1^3| \leq \frac{2}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta)|^2|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)||\partial_\alpha z(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha h(\alpha-\beta)|}{|z(\alpha) - h(\alpha-\beta)|^4}|v(\alpha-\beta)|d\beta$$
$$\leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}(\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} + \|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^1})\|v\|_{L^2}$$

On the other hand, using integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\beta \Big(\frac{(z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\Big) v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\partial_\alpha h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^2} v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \\
&- \frac{2}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)(z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)) \cdot \partial_\alpha h(\alpha-\beta)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^4} v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \\
&\leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_\alpha z\|_{L^\infty}\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|v\|_{L^2} + C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|v\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\|\partial_\alpha T_2(v)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|^2_{\mathcal{C}^2}\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|v\|_{L^2}.$$

Finally, we have to estimate $\partial_\alpha T_3$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\alpha T_3(u)(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha \Big(\frac{(h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha h(\alpha)}{|h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\Big) u(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \\
&+ \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha h(\alpha)}{|h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2} \partial_\alpha u(\alpha-\beta)d\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Changing z for h, we can check that we have the same estimates as in T_2. Thus,

$$\|T_3(u)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|h\|^2_{\mathcal{C}^2}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|u\|_{L^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\partial_\alpha \mathcal{T}(u,v)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\mathcal{F}(h)\|^2_{L^\infty}\|d(z,h)\|^2_{L^\infty}\|h\|^4_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}\|z\|^4_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}\|(u,v)\|_{L^2}.$$

Since h is fixed on time, $\|\mathcal{F}(h)\|^2_{L^\infty}$ and $\|h\|^4_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}$ are not dependent of time. Thus we get,

$$\|\partial_\alpha \mathcal{T}(w)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty}\|d(z,h)\|^2_{L^\infty}\|z\|^4_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}\|(w)\|_{L^2}.$$

■

2.2. **Estimates on the inverse operator.** We are going to work with the adjoint operator of $\mathcal{T}$ in order to estimate the inverse operator $(I + M\mathcal{T})^{-1}$.

We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ T_3 & T_4 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} T_1(w_1)+T_2(w_2) \\ T_3(w_1)+T_4(w_2) \end{pmatrix}\right) \\
&= (T_1(w_1),u_1) + (T_2(w_2),u_1) + (T_3(w_1),u_2) + (T_4(w_2),u_2) \\
&= (w_1, T_1^*(u_1)) + (w_2, T_2^*(u_1)) + (w_1, T_3^*(u_2)) + (w_2, T_4^*(u_2)) = \\
&\left(\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} T_1^*(u_1)+T_3^*(u_2) \\ T_2^*(u_1)+T_4^*(u_2) \end{pmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} T_1^* & T_3^* \\ T_2^* & T_4^* \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

The adjoint operator is given by

$$\mathcal{T}^*(u_1,u_2)(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} T_1^* & T_3^* \\ T_2^* & T_4^* \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1^*(u)(\alpha) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z(\alpha)-z(\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\beta)}{|z(\alpha)-z(\beta)|^2} u(\beta)d\beta, \\
T_2^*(u)(\alpha) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(h(\alpha)-z(\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\beta)}{|h(\alpha)-z(\beta)|^2} u(\beta)d\beta, \\
T_3^*(u)(\alpha) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z(\alpha)-h(\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha h(\beta)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\beta)|^2} u(\beta)d\beta,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$T_4^*(u)(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(h(\alpha)-h(\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha h(\beta)}{|h(\alpha)-h(\beta)|^2} u(\beta) d\beta.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that* $\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$, $\|\mathcal{F}(h)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$, $\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ *and* $z, h \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}$. *Then* $\mathcal{T}^* : L^2 \times L^2 \to H^1 \times H^1$ *and*

$$\|\mathcal{T}^*\|_{L^2\times L^2 \to H^1 \times H^1} \leq \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}^2.$$

Proof. In the same way as in the study of $\mathcal{T}$, we can prove this estimate studying each T_i^*.

$$T_1^*(u) = -\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z(\alpha)-z(\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\beta)}{|z(\alpha)-z(\beta)|^2} u(\beta) d\beta$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\alpha T_1^*(u) = &-\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha \Big(\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)}{|\Delta z|^2}\Big) u(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \\ &-\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta z)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)}{|\Delta z|^2} \partial_\alpha u(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \equiv I_1 + I_2.\end{aligned}$$

I_1 is estimated in the same way that operator T_1. Using integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta z)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)}{|\Delta z|^2} \partial_\beta u(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\beta \Big(\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)}{|\Delta z|^2}\Big) u(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha-\beta) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)}{|\Delta z|^2} u(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \\ &- \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta z)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha-\beta)}{|\Delta z|^2} u(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \\ &+ \frac{2}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta z)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta) \Delta z \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)}{|\Delta z|^2}) u(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \equiv I_2^1 + I_2^2 + I_2^3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial_\alpha^\perp z \cdot \partial_\alpha z = 0$, $I_2^1 = 0$.

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^2 = &-\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp}{|\Delta z|^2} - \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{\beta |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}\Big) \cdot \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha-\beta) u(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \\ &- \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{\beta |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2} \cdot \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha-\beta) u(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \equiv I_2^{21} + I_2^{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Since we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp}{|\Delta z|^2} - \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{\beta |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2} = \frac{\beta |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2 \Delta z^\perp - \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha) |\Delta z|^2}{\beta |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2 |\Delta z|^2} \\ &= \frac{\beta^2 |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2 \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha - \beta + t\beta) dt - \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha) |\Delta z|^2}{\beta |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2 |\Delta z|^2} \\ &= \frac{\beta^3 |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^{2\perp} z(\alpha - s\beta + st\beta)(t-1) ds dt + \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)(\beta^2 |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2 - |\Delta z|^2)}{\beta |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2 |\Delta z|^2} \\ &\frac{\beta^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha - s\beta + st\beta)(t-1) ds dt}{|\Delta z|^2} \\ &+ \frac{\beta^2 \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha - \beta + t\beta + s\beta - ts\beta)(1-t) ds dt \cdot \int_0^1 (\partial_\alpha z(\alpha) + \partial_\alpha z(\alpha - \beta + t\beta)) dt}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2 |\Delta z|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$I_2^{21} \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^2\|u\|_{L^2}.$$

For the term I_2^{22}

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^{22} =& -\frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}\cdot\frac{\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha-\beta)-\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)}{\beta}u(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&-\frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}\cdot\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\frac{u(\alpha-\beta)}{\beta}d\beta\\
&\leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}\|u\|_{L^2}-\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}H(u)\\
&\leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}\|u\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can see easily for $\phi=\alpha-\beta+t\beta$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^3 &= \frac{2}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\beta^2\int_0^1\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\phi)dt\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)\int_0^1\partial_\alpha z(\phi)dt\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)}{|\Delta z|^2}u(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&\leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}^2\|z\|_{H^1}^2\|u\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider

$$T_2^*(v) = -\frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(h(\alpha)-z(\beta))^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\beta)}{|h(\alpha)-z(\beta)|^2}v(\beta)d\beta,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\alpha T_2^*(v) =& -\frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\alpha\Big(\frac{(h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)}{|h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\Big)v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&-\frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)}{|h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\partial_\alpha v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\equiv J_1+J_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\partial_\alpha^\perp z\cdot\partial_\alpha z=0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 =& -\frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp h(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)}{|h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2}v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&-\frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha-\beta)}{|h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2}v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&+\frac{2}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(\Delta hz)^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)\Delta hz\cdot(\partial_\alpha h(\alpha)-\partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta))}{|h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^4}v(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&\equiv J_1^1+J_1^2+J_1^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Directly,

$$|J_1^1|\leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|v\|_{L^2},$$

$$|J_1^2|\leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^2\|v\|_{L^2}$$

and

$$J_1^3\leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}(\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}+\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^1})\|v\|_{L^2}.$$

Now, we study the term J_2. Since $\partial_\alpha z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= -\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\beta \Big(\frac{(h(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)}{|h(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\Big) v(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(h(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha-\beta)}{|h(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta)|^2} v(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \\ &- \frac{2}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta z h)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta) \Delta z h \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)}{|h(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta)|^4} v(\alpha-\beta) d\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Using the same procedure as in term J_1,

$$|J_2^1| \leq C \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \|v\|_{L^2}$$

and

$$|J_2^2| \leq C \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty} \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}^2 \|v\|_{L^2}.$$

The operator $T_3^*(v)(\alpha)$ is estimated as well as $T_2^*(u)(\alpha)$ changing z with h and vice verse. For $T_4^*(v)(\alpha)$ we do the same as for $T_1^*(u)(\alpha)$ changing z for h and instead of $\mathcal{F}(z)$ the arc-chord condition for h, $\mathcal{F}(h)$.

In conclusion,

$$\|\partial_\alpha \mathcal{T}^* w\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\mathcal{F}(h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}^2 \|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}^2 \|w\|_{L^2}.$$

■

Now it will be useful to consider the following functions:

Let x be outside the curve $z(\alpha)$ and $h(\alpha)$, then we define

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x - z(\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\beta)}{|x - z(\beta)|^2} u(\beta) d\beta \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x_2 - z_2(\beta)) \partial_\alpha z_1(\beta)}{|x - z(\beta)|^2} u(\beta) d\beta - \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x_1 - z_1(\beta)) \partial_\alpha z_2(\beta)}{|x - z(\beta)|^2} u(\beta) d\beta. \end{aligned}$$

In the following we identify (u_1, u_2) with $u_1 + iu_2$. Since $-u^\perp \cdot v = u_2 v_1 - u_1 v_2$ and $(u_1 + iu_2)(v_1 + iv_2) = (u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2) + i(u_2 v_1 - u_1 v_2)$ we get,

$$-u^\perp \cdot v = \mathfrak{I}(u\bar{v}).$$

Therefore, we can write

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x - z(\beta)) \overline{\partial_\alpha z(\beta)}}{|x - z(\beta)|^2} u(\beta) d\beta$$

In the same way,

$$f_2(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x - h(\beta)) \overline{\partial_\alpha h(\beta)}}{|x - h(\beta)|^2} v(\beta) d\beta$$

Both are the real part of the following Cauchy integrals

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x) = f_1(x) + i g_1(x) &= \frac{1}{i\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x - z(\beta)) \overline{\partial_\alpha z(\beta)}}{|x - z(\beta)|^2} u(\beta) d\beta, \\ F_2(x) = f_2(x) + i g_2(x) &= \frac{1}{i\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x - h(\beta)) \overline{\partial_\alpha h(\beta)}}{|x - h(\beta)|^2} v(\beta) d\beta \end{aligned}$$

Taking $x = z(\alpha) + \epsilon \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)$ and letting $\epsilon \to 0$, we obtain

$$f_1(z(\alpha)) = T_1^*(u)(\alpha) - sign(\epsilon) u(\alpha). \tag{3}$$

and taking $x = h(\alpha) + \epsilon\partial_\alpha^\perp h(\alpha)$ and letting $\epsilon \to 0$

$$f_2(h(\alpha)) = T_4^*(v)(\alpha) - sign(\epsilon)v(\alpha). \tag{4}$$

Since the curve $z(\alpha)$ does not touch the curve $h(\alpha)$, we have

$$f_1(h(\alpha)) = T_2^*(u)(\alpha) \tag{5}$$

and

$$f_2(z(\alpha)) = T_3^*(v)(\alpha). \tag{6}$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} g_1(z(\alpha) \pm \epsilon\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \Im(F_1(z(\alpha) \pm \epsilon\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)) \equiv G_1(u)(\alpha)$$

where

$$G_1(u)(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z(\alpha) - z(\beta)) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\beta)}{|z(\alpha) - z(\beta)|^2} u(\beta) d\beta.$$

In the same way, taking limits

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} g_2(h(\alpha) \pm \epsilon\partial_\alpha^\perp h(\alpha)) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \Im(F_2(h(\alpha) \pm \epsilon\partial_\alpha^\perp h(\alpha)) \equiv G_2(u)(\alpha)$$

where

$$G_2(v)(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(h(\alpha) - h(\beta)) \cdot \partial_\alpha h(\beta)}{|h(\alpha) - h(\beta)|^2} v(\beta) d\beta$$

Therefore, we have the fact that $g_i^+(z(\alpha)) = g_i^-(z(\alpha))$ and $g_i^+(h(\alpha)) = g_i^-(h(\alpha))$ for $i = 1, 2$, where $(\cdot)^+$ denotes the limit obtained approaching from above to the boundaries in the normal direction and $(\cdot)^-$ from below.(This fact will be used on Subsection 2.3).

Now we will show that $\mathcal{T}^* w = \lambda w \Rightarrow |\lambda| < 1$.

If w is a eigenvector of $\mathcal{T}$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}^* w = \begin{pmatrix} T_1^* & T_3^* \\ T_2^* & T_4^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_1^* u + T_3^* v \\ T_2^* u + T_4^* v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda u \\ \lambda v \end{pmatrix} = \lambda w.$$

Let us compute ∇f_i for $i = 1, 2$. The identity

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x - z(\beta))\overline{\partial_\alpha z(\beta)}}{|x - z(\beta)|^2} u(\beta) d\beta = \frac{1}{\pi}\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\overline{\partial_\alpha z(\beta)}}{\overline{(x - z(\beta))}} u(\beta) d\beta \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi}\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\beta \ln(x - z(\beta)) u(\beta) d\beta = \frac{1}{\pi}\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln(x - z(\beta)) \partial_\beta u(\beta) d\beta \end{aligned}$$

yields

$$\nabla f_1(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\beta u(\beta) \nabla \ln(x - z(\beta)) d\beta.$$

That is

$$\nabla f_1(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\beta u(\beta) \nabla \arg(x - z(\beta)) d\beta = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x - z(\beta))^\perp}{|x - z(\beta)|^2} \partial_\beta u(\beta) d\beta.$$

In the same way,

$$\nabla f_2(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x - h(\beta))^\perp}{|x - h(\beta)|^2} \partial_\beta v(\beta) d\beta.$$

Taking $x = z(\alpha) + \epsilon z(\alpha)$ and letting $\epsilon \to 0$ in ∇f_1 we have

$$\nabla f_1(z(\alpha)) = 2BR(\partial_\alpha u, z)_z - sign(\epsilon) \frac{\partial_\alpha u(\alpha) \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{2|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}. \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, taking $x = h(\alpha) + \epsilon h(\alpha)$ on ∇f_2 and letting $\epsilon \to 0$,

$$\nabla f_2(h(\alpha)) = 2BR(\partial_\alpha v, h)_h - sign(\epsilon) \frac{\partial_\alpha v(\alpha) \partial_\alpha h(\alpha)}{2|\partial_\alpha h(\alpha)|^2}. \tag{8}$$

Obviously,

$$\nabla f_1(h(\alpha)) = 2BR(\partial_\alpha u, z)_h \tag{9}$$

and

$$\nabla f_2(z(\alpha)) = 2BR(\partial_\alpha v, h)_z. \tag{10}$$

Assuming now that $\mathcal{T}^* w = \lambda w$, Ω_1 is the domain placed above of the curve $z(\alpha)$, Ω_2 is the domain between $z(\alpha)$ and $h(\alpha)$ and Ω_3 is below of the curve $h(\alpha)$. The analyticity of F_i for $i = 1, 2$ allows us to obtain:

(11)

$$\begin{aligned}
&0 < \int_{\Omega_1} |F_1'(x) + F_2'(x)|^2 dx = 2\int_{\Omega_1} |(\nabla f_1(x) + \nabla f_2(x))|^2 dx \\
&= -2\int_{\Omega_1} \Delta(f_1(x) + f_2(x))(f_1(x) + f_2(x))dx \\
&- 2\int_{\mathbb{T}} (f_1^+(z(\alpha)) + f_2^+(z(\alpha)))(\nabla f_1^+(z(\alpha)) + \nabla f_2^+(z(\alpha))) \cdot \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|} d\alpha \\
&= 2\int_{\mathbb{T}} (-T_1^*(u)(\alpha) + u(\alpha) - T_3^*(v)(\alpha))(2BR(\partial_\alpha u, z)_z + 2BR(\partial_\alpha v, h)_z) \cdot \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|} d\alpha \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}} (u(\alpha) - \lambda u(\alpha)) M(u, v, h, z) d\alpha = (1-\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}} u(\alpha) M(u, v, h, z) d\alpha \\
&\equiv (1-\lambda) A,
\end{aligned}$$

(12)

$$\begin{aligned}
&0 < \int_{\Omega_2} |F_1'(x) + F_2'(x)|^2 dx \\
&= 2\int_{\mathbb{T}} (f_1(z(\alpha)) + f_2(z(\alpha)))(\nabla f_1(z(\alpha)) + \nabla f_2(z(\alpha))) \cdot \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|} d\alpha \\
&- 2\int_{\mathbb{T}} (f_1^+(h(\alpha)) + f_2^+(h(\alpha)))(\nabla f_1^+(h(\alpha)) + \nabla f_2^+(h(\alpha))) \cdot \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp h(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha h(\alpha)|} d\alpha \\
&= 2\int_{\mathbb{T}} (u(\alpha) + T_1^*(u)(\alpha) + T_3^*(v)(\alpha)) M(u, v, h, z) d\alpha \\
&+ 2\int_{\mathbb{T}} (v(\alpha) - T_2^*(u)(\alpha) - T_4^*(v)(\alpha))(2BR(\partial_\alpha u, z)_h + 2BR(\partial_\alpha v, h)_h) \cdot \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|} d\alpha \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}} (u(\alpha) + \lambda u(\alpha)) M(u, v, h, z) d\alpha + 2\int_{\mathbb{T}} (v(\alpha) - \lambda v(\alpha)) N(u, v, h, z) \\
&= (1+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}} u(\alpha) M(u, v, h, z) d\alpha + (1-\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}} v(\alpha) N(u, v, h, z) d\alpha \\
&\equiv (1+\lambda) A + (1-\lambda) B
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&0 < \int_{\Omega_3} |F_1'(x) + F_2'(x)|^2 dx \\
&= 2\int_{\mathbb{T}} (f_1^-(h(\alpha)) + f_2^-(h(\alpha)))(\nabla f_1^-(h(\alpha)) + \nabla f_2^-(h(\alpha))) \cdot \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp h(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha h(\alpha)|} d\alpha \\
&= 2\int_{\mathbb{T}} (v(\alpha) + T_2^*(u)(\alpha) + T_4^*(v)(\alpha)) N(u, v, h, z) d\alpha \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}} (v(\alpha) + \lambda v(\alpha)) N(u, v, h, z) d\alpha = (1+\lambda) B
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where we have used (3)-(10). Suppose that $|\lambda| \geq 1$ then $\lambda \in (-\infty, -1] \cup [1, \infty)$:

$\rightarrow$ If $\lambda \in (-\infty, -1]$ then
- i) For (11) we get that $A > 0$.
- ii) For (13) we get that $B < 0$ and $\lambda \neq -1$.
- iii) Therefore, (12) is a contradiction.

$\rightarrow$ If $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$
- i) For (11) we get that $A < 0$ and $\lambda \neq 1$.
- ii) For (13) we get that $B > 0$.
- iii) Therefore, (12) is a contradiction.

Thus $|\lambda| < 1$. At this point, since $\mathcal{T}^*$ is a compact operator, we know that there exists $(I - M\mathcal{T}^*)^{-1}$ for $M = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $|\mu_i| < 1$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Our propose is to prove that $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$-norm of the inverse operator are bounded by $\exp(C|||z, h|||^2)$ where $|||z, h|||^2 = \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|d(z, h)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|z\|^2_{H^3}$. To prove that we will start with the following proposition:

Proposition 2.2. *The norms $\|(I \pm \mathcal{T}^*)^{-1}\|_{L^2_0}$ are bounded by $\exp(C|||z, h|||^2)$ for some universal constant C. Here the space L^2_0 is the usual L^2 with the extra condition of mean value zero.*

Proof. The proof follows if we demostrate the estimate

$$e^{-C|||z,h|||^2} \leq \frac{\|\varpi - \mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{L^2_0}}{\|\varpi + \mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{L^2_0}} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2} \tag{14}$$

valid for every nonzero $\varpi \in L^2_0 \times L^2_0$.

This is because if we assume $\|\varpi - \mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{L^2_0} \leq e^{-2C|||z,h|||^2}$ for some $\|\varpi\|_{L^2_0} = 1$ then we obtain $\|\varpi + \mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{L^2_0} \geq 2\|\varpi\|_{L^2_0} - e^{-2C|||z,h|||^2} \geq 1$ wich contradicts 14. Therefore we must have $\|\varpi - \mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{L^2_0} \geq e^{-2C|||z,h|||^2}$ for all $\|\varpi\|_{L^2_0} = 1$ i.e. $\|(I - \mathcal{T}^*)^{-1}\|_{L^2_0} \leq e^{2C|||z,h|||^2}$. Similarly we also have $\|(I + \mathcal{T}^*)^{-1}\|_{L^2_0} \leq e^{2C|||z,h|||^2}$.

Since

$$\varpi + \mathcal{T}^*\varpi = \begin{pmatrix} u + T_1^*u + T_3^*v \\ v + T_2^*u + T_4^*v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1^-(z(\alpha)) + f_2(z(\alpha)) \\ f_1(h(\alpha)) + f_2^-(h(\alpha)) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} m^+ \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\varpi - \mathcal{T}^*\varpi = \begin{pmatrix} u - T_1^*u - T_3^*v \\ v - T_2^*u - T_4^*v \end{pmatrix} = (-1)\begin{pmatrix} f_1^+(z(\alpha)) + f_2(z(\alpha)) \\ f_1(h(\alpha)) + f_2^+(h(\alpha)) \end{pmatrix} \equiv (-1)\begin{pmatrix} f \\ m^- \end{pmatrix}$$

Next we will see that we can write the above function as some operators, which we call $\mathcal{H}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, where i denotes the corresponding domain Ω_1, Ω_2, and Ω_3(See Subsection 2.3). The relations with these operator are:

$$\begin{aligned} m^+ &= \mathcal{H}_2^z(f, m^-), \\ w &= \mathcal{H}_3(f, m^-), \\ f &= \mathcal{H}_1(m^+, w), \\ m^- &= \mathcal{H}_2^h(m^+, w). \end{aligned}$$

And we will prove that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_i(\varpi)\|_{L^2} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}\|\varpi\|_{L^2},$$

where C denotes a universal constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence. With all these assumptions, the proof is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varpi + \mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{L^2_0} &= \|\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_2^z(f, m^-) \\ \mathcal{H}_3(f, m^-) \end{pmatrix}\|_{L^2_0} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}\|\begin{pmatrix} f \\ m^- \end{pmatrix}\|_{L^2_0} \\ &= e^{2C|||z,h|||^2}\|\varpi - \mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{L^2_0}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,

$$\|\varpi - \mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{L^2_0} = \|\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{H}_1(m^+,w)\\ \mathcal{H}_2^h(m^+,w)\end{pmatrix}\|_{L^2_0} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}\|\begin{pmatrix}m^+\\ w\end{pmatrix}\|_{L^2_0}$$
$$= e^{2C|||z,h|||^2}\|\varpi + \mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{L^2_0}.$$

■

Once we have the estimation of $(I\pm\mathcal{T}^*)^{-1}$, we introduce the term $M = \begin{pmatrix}\mu_1 & 0\\ 0 & \mu_2\end{pmatrix}$ with $|\mu_i| < 1$ for all $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 2.2. *The following estimate holds:*

$$\|(I + M\mathcal{T}^*)^{-1}\|_{L^2_0} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}$$

for a universal constant C and $|\mu_i| \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. If we look at the identity $I + M\mathcal{T}^* = M(I + \mathcal{T}^*) + (I - MI)$, using the estimate on proposition 2.2 we can conclude that

$$\|(I + M\mathcal{T}^*)^{-1}\|_{L^2_0} \leq \exp C|||z, h|||^2$$

for $1 - e^{-C_1|||z,h|||^2} \leq |\mu_i| \leq 1$.

For $|\mu_i| \leq 1 - e^{-C_1|||z,h|||^2}$:

Since $\|M\mathcal{T}^*\|_{L^2} < 1$ then we can write $(I + M\mathcal{T}^*)^{-1} = \sum_n (M\mathcal{T}^*)^n$. Taking norms,

$$\|(I + M\mathcal{T}^*)^{-1}\|_{L^2_0} \leq \sum_n \|M\mathcal{T}^*\|^n_{L^2_0} \leq \sum_n (1 - e^{-C_1|||z,h|||^2})^n = e^{C_1|||z,h|||^2}$$

■

Now we are in position to prove the $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$-norm,

Proposition 2.3. *For $\mu_i \leq 1$ the following estimate holds*

$$\|(I + M\mathcal{T})^{-1}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}_0} = \|(I + M\mathcal{T}^*)^{-1}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}_0} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2},$$

where C is a universal constant and $M = \begin{pmatrix}\mu_1 & 0\\ 0 & \mu_2\end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. We will use the same idea as in Proposition 2.2, therefore we are going to prove:

$$e^{-C|||z,h|||^2} \leq \frac{\|\varpi - \mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}_0}}{\|\varpi + \mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}_0}} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}.$$

To do that, using (2.1) and $|\mu_i| < 1$,

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varpi + M\mathcal{T}^*\varpi)\|_{L^2_0} \leq \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varpi - M\mathcal{T}^*\varpi)\|_{L^2_0} + 2\|M\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{T}^*\varpi)\|_{L^2_0}$$
$$\leq \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varpi - M\mathcal{T}^*\varpi)\|_{L^2_0} + 2\|\mathcal{T}^*\varpi)\|_{H^1}$$
$$\leq \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varpi - M\mathcal{T}^*\varpi)\|_{L^2_0} + e^{C|||z,h|||^2}\|\varpi\|_{L^2_0}.$$

Using the estimate of Lemma 2.2,

$$\|\varpi\|_{L^2_0} = \|(I - M\mathcal{T}^*)^{-1}(I - M\mathcal{T}^*)\varpi\| \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}\|\varpi - M\mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{L^2_0}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\varpi + M\mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}_0} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}\|\varpi - M\mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}_0}$$

Analogously, we get

$$\|\varpi - M\mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{H_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}\|\varpi + M\mathcal{T}^*\varpi\|_{H_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

and we finish the proof. ■

2.3. $\mathcal{H}_i$ **Operators.** The truth of the above results depend on the existence of the $\mathcal{H}_i$ Operators which we have denoted on Proposition 2.2.

We will start with considering a flat domain, where the boundaries are $(x, 0)$ and $(x, 1)$.

Let be F a harmonic function, decaying at infinity, above $(x, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta F = 0 \\ F(x, 1) = f(x) \end{cases}$$

Taking Fourier transform, we can get $\hat{F}(\xi, y) = e^{-|\xi|(y-1)}\hat{f}(\xi)$.

Now, if we calculate the harmonic conjugate,which we will call G, we can get $\hat{G}(\xi, y) = -isign(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)e^{-|\xi|(y-1)}$. And therefore, $\hat{G}(\xi, 1) = -isign(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)$.

Now we consider between the boundaries the harmonic function M such that,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta M = 0 \\ M(x, 1) = m^+(x) \\ M(x, 0) = m^-(x) \end{cases}$$

Taking Fourier Transform and computing the harmonic conjugate, we get $\hat{N}(\xi, y) = iA\cosh(\xi y) + iB\sinh(\xi y)$.

At the end, we want to relate these harmonic function with ours F_i described at the Subsection 2.2. We saw that $g_i^+(z(\alpha)) = g_i^-(z(\alpha))$ and $g_i^+(h(\alpha)) = g_i^-(h(\alpha))$ for $i = 1, 2$. That is why we consider now $G(x, 1) = N(x, 1)$ and before $N(x, 0) = R(x, 0)$.

Therefore, since

$$\hat{N}(\xi, 1) = iA\cosh(\xi) + iB\sinh(\xi) = \hat{G}(\xi, 1) = -isign(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi),$$
$$\hat{M}(\xi, 0) = B = \hat{m}^-(\xi)$$

then

$$A = \frac{sign(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{m}^-(\xi)\sinh(\xi)}{\cosh(\xi)}$$

and

$$\hat{m}^+(\xi) = \hat{M}(\xi, 1) = \frac{sign(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\sinh(\xi) + \hat{m}^-(\xi)}{\cosh(\xi)}.$$

Moreover,

$$\hat{N}(\xi, 0) = \frac{isign(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi) - i\hat{m}^-(\xi)\sinh(\xi)}{\cosh(\xi)}.$$

Finally, we consider an harmonic function W below $(x, 0)$ in such a way that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta W = 0 \\ W(x, 0) = w(x) \end{cases}$$

With the same procedure as before, we get the harmonic conjugate $\hat{R}(\xi, y) = isign(\xi)\hat{w}(\xi)e^{|x|y}$. Since, $\hat{R}(\xi, 0) = \hat{N}(\xi, 0)$ therefore

$$\hat{w}(\xi) = \frac{\hat{f}(\xi) - sign(\xi)\hat{m}^-(\xi)\sinh(\xi)}{\cosh(\xi)}.$$

Thus we just put $\hat{m}^+$ and $\hat{w}$ as a function of $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{m}^-$. We do this going from the top of the domain to the bottom. If we do the same going from the bottom to the top we will obtain

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{-\hat{w}(\xi) - sign(\xi)\hat{m}^+(\xi)\sinh(\xi)}{\cosh(\xi)},$$
$$\hat{m}^-(\xi) = \frac{\hat{m}^+(\xi) - sign(\xi)\hat{w}(\xi)\sinh(\xi)}{\cosh(\xi)}.$$

Here we define ours operators like:

$$\widehat{H_1(m^+, w)} = \frac{-\hat{w}(\xi) - sign(\xi)\hat{m}^+(\xi)\sinh(\xi)}{\cosh(\xi)},$$
$$\widehat{H_2^h(m^+, w)} = \frac{\hat{m}^+(\xi) - sign(\xi)\hat{w}(\xi)\sinh(\xi)}{\cosh(\xi)},$$
$$\widehat{H_2^z(f, m^-)} = \frac{\hat{m}^-(\xi) + sign(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\sinh(\xi)}{\cosh(\xi)},$$
$$\widehat{H_3(f, m^-)} = \frac{\hat{f}(\xi) - sign(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\sinh(\xi)}{\cosh(\xi)},$$

which are bounded in L^2.



FIGURE 2. Conformal maps ϕ_i

Let ϕ_i the conformal mapping from the Ω_i domain to the "flat" domain (See the figure 2), then the corresponding operator in the "curve" domain are denoted by $\mathcal{H}_i$.

For the L^2-norm of the $\mathcal{H}_i$ Operator we can repeat the proofs in [8] for their Hilbert operator $\mathcal{H}_1$.

To do this we only have to look at the formulas:

$$\mathcal{H}_1(m^+, w) = H_1(m^+ \circ \phi_1^{-1}, w \circ \phi_1^{-1}) \circ \phi_1,$$
$$\mathcal{H}_2^h(m^+, w) = H_2^h(m^+ \circ \phi_2^{-1}, w \circ \phi_2^{-1}) \circ \phi_2,$$
$$\mathcal{H}_2^z(f, m^-) = H_2^z(f \circ \phi_2^{-1}, m^- \circ \phi_2^{-1}) \circ \phi_2,$$
$$\mathcal{H}_3(f, m^-) = H_3(f \circ \phi_3^{-1}, m^- \circ \phi_3^{-1}) \circ \phi_3.$$

Since our parametric curves $z(\alpha)$ and $h(\alpha)$ are $\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}$ satisfying the arc-chord conditions $\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$, $\|\mathcal{F}(h)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ and the distance $\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$. Then we have tangent balls to the boundary contained inside the domains Ω_i. Furthermore, we can estimate from below the radius of those balls by $C|||z,h|||^{-1}$(As in Lemma 4.3 in [8]).

Following the steps of the proof of Lemma 4.4 in [8] we can conclude that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_i\|_{L^2} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, 3$.

3. Estimates on ϖ

In this section we show that the norm of amplitude of the vorticity $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \varpi_2)$ is bounded in H^k, for $k \geq 2$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \varpi_2)$ be a function given by*

$$\varpi_1(\alpha) = -\gamma_1 T_1(\varpi_1)(\alpha) - \gamma_1 T_2(\varpi_2)(\alpha) - N\partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha), \tag{15}$$

$$\varpi_2(\alpha) = -\gamma_2 T_3(\varpi_1)(\alpha) - \gamma_2 T_4(\varpi_2)(\alpha) \tag{16}$$

where $\gamma_1 = \frac{\mu_2-\mu_1}{\mu_1+\mu_2}$, $\gamma_2 = \frac{\kappa_1-\kappa_2}{\kappa_1+\kappa_2}$ and $N = 2\kappa_1 g \frac{\rho_2-\rho_1}{\mu_2+\mu_1}$.

Then

$$\|\varpi\|_{H^k} \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|d(z,h)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|z\|^2_{H^{k+1}})$$

for $k \geq 2$.

Proof. We can write,

$$\varpi = M\mathcal{T}\varpi - v \tag{17}$$

where $M = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ T_3 & T_4 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v = \begin{pmatrix} N\partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The formula (17) is equivalent to

$$\varpi = (I + M\mathcal{T})^{-1} v.$$

It yields

$$\|\varpi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \|(I + M\mathcal{T})^{-1}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_\alpha z_2\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Since $|\gamma_i| < 1$ for all i, the proposition 2.3 gives

$$\|\varpi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}.$$

Recall that $|||z,h|||^2 = \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|d(z,h)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|z\|^2_{H^3}$. Now, we consider the H^{k+1}-norm

$$\|\varpi\|_{H^{k+1}} = \|\varpi_1\|_{H^{k+1}} + \|\varpi_2\|_{H^{k+1}}.$$

Then, we study each component one by one.

Taking the k derivative of (15) we get:

$$\partial^k_\alpha \varpi_1(\alpha) = -\lambda_1 \partial^k_\alpha (2BR(\varpi_1, z)_z \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)) - \lambda_1 \partial^k_\alpha (2BR(\varpi_2, h)_z \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)) - N\partial^{k+1}_\alpha z_2(\alpha).$$

Using Leibniz's rule we have,

$$2\partial^k_\alpha (BR(\varpi_1, z)_z \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{C_k}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial^{k-j}_\alpha \left(\frac{\Delta z^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta z|^2}\right) \partial^j_\alpha \varpi_1(\alpha - \beta) d\beta$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{C_k}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial^{k-j}_\alpha \left(\frac{\Delta z^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta z|^2}\right) \partial^j_\alpha \varpi_1(\alpha - \beta) d\beta + T_1(\partial^k_\alpha \varpi_1)(\alpha)$$

and

$$2\partial^k_\alpha (BR(\varpi_2, h)_z \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha))$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{C_k}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial^{k-j}_\alpha \left(\frac{\Delta z h^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta z h|^2}\right) \partial^j_\alpha \varpi_2(\alpha - \beta) d\beta + T_2(\partial^k_\alpha \varpi_2)(\alpha).$$

Recall that $\Delta z = z(\alpha) - z(\alpha - \beta)$ and $\Delta z h = z(\alpha) - h(\alpha - \beta)$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\partial^k_\alpha \varpi_1(\alpha) + \lambda_1 T_1(\partial^k_\alpha \varpi_1)(\alpha) + \lambda_1 T_2(\partial^k_\alpha \varpi_2)(\alpha) = R^1_k(\varpi_1) + R^2_k(\varpi_2) - N\partial^{k+1}_\alpha z_2(\alpha)$$

where

$$R_k^1(\varpi_1)=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{C_k}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\alpha^{k-j}(\frac{\Delta z^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta z|^2})\partial_\alpha^j\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta,$$

$$R_k^2(\varpi_2)=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{C_k}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\alpha^{k-j}(\frac{\Delta zh^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta zh|^2})\partial_\alpha^j\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta.$$

If we observe that

$$\partial_\alpha T_1(\varpi_1)(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\alpha(\frac{\Delta z^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta z|^2})\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta+T_1(\partial_\alpha\varpi_1)(\alpha)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}R_k^1(\varpi_1)&=\partial_\alpha^{k-1}(\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\alpha(\frac{\Delta z^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta z|^2})\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta)\\&=\partial_\alpha^{k-1}(\partial_\alpha T_1(\varpi_1)(\alpha)-T_1(\partial_\alpha\varpi_1)(\alpha))=\partial_\alpha^k T_1(\varpi_1)(\alpha)-\partial_\alpha^{k-1}T_1(\partial_\alpha\varpi_1)(\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$R_k^2(\varpi_2)=\partial_\alpha^k T_2(\varpi_2)(\alpha)-\partial_\alpha^{k-1}T_2(\partial_\alpha\varpi_2)(\alpha).$$

Taking the k-derivatives in (16), we get

$$\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2(\alpha)+\lambda_2T_3(\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_1)(\alpha)+\lambda_2T_4(\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2)(\alpha)=R_k^3(\varpi_1)+R_k^4(\varpi 2)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}R_k^3(\varpi_1)&=\partial_\alpha^k T_3(\varpi_1)(\alpha)-\partial_\alpha^{k-1}T_3(\partial_\alpha\varpi_1)(\alpha),\\R_k^4(\varpi_2)&=\partial_\alpha^k T_4(\varpi_2)(\alpha)-\partial_\alpha^{k-1}T_4(\partial_\alpha\varpi_2)(\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Next let us consider

(18)

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_1(\alpha)+\lambda_1T_1(\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_1)(\alpha)+\lambda_1T_2(\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2)(\alpha)\\&=\lambda_1T_1(\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_1)(\alpha)+\lambda_1T_2(\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2)(\alpha)-\lambda_1\Lambda^{\frac12}T_1(\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_1)(\alpha)-\lambda_1\Lambda^{\frac12}T_2(\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2)(\alpha)\\&+\Lambda^{\frac12}R_k^1(\varpi_1)(\alpha)+\Lambda^{\frac12}R_k^2(\varpi_2)(\alpha)-N\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^{k+1}z_2(\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

and

(19)

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2(\alpha)+\lambda_2T_3(\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_1)(\alpha)+\lambda_2T_4(\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2)(\alpha)\\&=\lambda_2T_3(\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_1)(\alpha)+\lambda_2T_4(\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2)(\alpha)-\lambda_2\Lambda^{\frac12}T_3(\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_1)(\alpha)-\lambda_2\Lambda^{\frac12}T_4(\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2)(\alpha)\\&+\Lambda^{\frac12}R_k^3(\varpi_1)(\alpha)+\Lambda^{\frac12}R_k^4(\varpi_2)(\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Then, we write

$$\begin{pmatrix}\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_1\\\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}\gamma_1&0\\0&\gamma_2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}T_1&T_2\\T_3&T_4\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_1\\\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}S_1\\S_2\end{pmatrix}$$

where S_1 is the right hand side of (18) and S_2 the right hand side of (19). Using the estimate for the inverse $(I+M\mathcal{T})^{-1}$ in the space $H^{\frac12}$ we get

$$\|\varpi\|_{H^{k+1}}\leq\|\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi\|_{H^{\frac12}}\leq C\|(I+M\mathcal{T})^{-1}\|_{H^{\frac12}}\|S\|_{H^{\frac12}}\leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}\|S\|_{H^{\frac12}}.$$

We have that

$$S=\begin{pmatrix}S_1\\S_2\end{pmatrix}=M\mathcal{T}(\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi)-M\Lambda^{\frac12}(\mathcal{T}(\partial_\alpha^k\varpi))+\Lambda^{\frac12}(\mathbb{R}_k(\varpi))-\begin{pmatrix}N\Lambda^{\frac12}\partial_\alpha^{k+1}z_2(\alpha)\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbb{R}_k(\varpi) = \begin{pmatrix} R_k^1 & R_k^2 \\ R_k^3 & R_k^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_1 \\ \varpi_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus,

$$\|S\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C\|\mathcal{T}(\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\mathcal{T}(\partial_\alpha^k\varpi))\|_{H^1} + \|\mathbb{R}_k(\varpi)\|_{H^1} + \|z\|_{H^{k+2}}.$$

Using the lemma 2.1,

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\partial_\alpha^k\varpi)\|_{H^1} \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\mathcal{F}(h)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^4\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^4\|\varpi\|_{H^k}.$$

Since $R_k^i(\varpi_j) = \partial_\alpha^k T_i(\varpi_j)(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^{k-1}T_i(\partial_\alpha\varpi_j)(\alpha)$ for $i,j = 1,2,3,4$ we can write

$$\mathbb{R}_k(\varpi) = \partial_\alpha^k\mathcal{T}(\varpi) - \partial_\alpha^{k-1}\mathcal{T}(\partial_\alpha\varpi).$$

Then using the lemma 3.2 (proved below),

$$\|\mathbb{R}_k(\varpi)\|_{H^1} \leq \|\partial_\alpha^k\mathcal{T}(\varpi)\|_{H^1} + \|\partial_\alpha^{k-1}\mathcal{T}(\partial_\alpha\varpi)\|_{H^1} \leq \|\mathcal{T}(\varpi)\|_{H^{k+1}} + \|\mathcal{T}(\partial_\alpha\varpi)\|_{H^k}$$
$$\leq C|||z,h|||^2(\|z\|_{H^{k+2}}^2 + \|h\|_{H^{k+2}}^2)\|\varpi\|_{H^k} + C|||z,h|||^2(\|z\|_{H^{k+1}}^2 + \|h\|_{H^{k+1}}^2)\|\partial_\alpha\varpi\|_{H^{k-1}}.$$

Finally, using lemma 2.1

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \|\mathcal{T}(\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi)\|_{H^1}$$
$$\leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\mathcal{F}(h)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^4\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^4\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi\|_{L^2}.$$

Since

$$\partial_\alpha^k\varpi + M\mathcal{T}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi = \mathbb{R}_k(\varpi) - \begin{pmatrix} N\partial_\alpha^{k+1}z_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\partial_\alpha^k\varpi = (I + M\mathcal{T})^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_k(\varpi) - \begin{pmatrix} N\partial_\alpha^{k+1}z_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}).$$

Therefore,

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi\|_{L^2} = \|\partial_\alpha^k\varpi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \|(I + M\mathcal{T})^{-1}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\|\mathbb{R}_k(\varpi)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|z\|_{H^{k+\frac{3}{2}}})$$
$$\leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}(C|||z,h|||^2(\|z\|_{H^{k+2}}^2 + \|h\|_{H^{k+2}}^2)\|\varpi\|_{H^k} + \|z\|_{H^{k+\frac{3}{2}}}).$$

In conclusion,

$$\|\varpi\|_{H^{k+1}} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|z\|_{H^{k+2}}^2\|\varpi\|_{H^k} + \|z\|_{H^{k+2}}).$$

For $k = \frac{1}{2}$, since $\|\varpi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq e^{C|||z,h|||^2}$ then

$$\|\varpi\|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^{\frac{5}{2}}}^2).$$

Therefore using induction on $k \geq 2$ allows us to finish the proof. ■

Lemma 3.2. *The operator $\mathcal{T}$ maps Sobolev space $H^k \times H^k$, $k \geq 1$, into $H^{k+1} \times H^{k+1}$ as long as $z, h \in H^{k+2}$ and satisfies the estimate*

$$\|\mathcal{T}\|_{H^k\times H^k \to H^{k+1}\times H^{k+1}} \leq C|||z,h|||^2\|z\|_{H^{k+2}}^2$$

Proof. For the lemma 5.2 in [8] we have

$$\|T_1(\varpi_1)\|_{H^{k+1}} \leq C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2)\|z\|_{H^{k+2}}^2\|\varpi_1\|_{H^k}$$

and changing z for h then

$$\|T_4(\varpi_2)\|_{H^{k+1}} \leq C(\|\mathcal{F}(h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|h\|_{H^3}^2)\|h\|_{H^{k+2}}^2\|\varpi_2\|_{H^k}.$$

Let us see what happens with $T_2(\varpi_2)$. Taking the $k+1$-derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\alpha^{k+1}T_2(\varpi_2)(\alpha) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k+1}\frac{C_k}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\alpha^{k+1-j}(\frac{\Delta zh^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta zh|^2})\partial_\alpha^j\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&= T_2(\partial_\alpha^{k+1}\varpi_2)(\alpha)+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\alpha^{k+1-j}(\frac{\Delta zh^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta zh|^2})\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{C_k}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\alpha^{k+1-j}(\frac{\Delta zh^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta zh|^2})\partial_\alpha^j\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&= T_2(\partial_\alpha^{k+1}\varpi_2)(\alpha)+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\Delta zh^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha^{k+2}z(\alpha)}{|\Delta zh|^2}\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&+\text{“other terms”}\\
&= T_2(\partial_\alpha^{k+1}\varpi_2)(\alpha)+J_1+\text{“other terms”}
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate for “other terms” is straighforward. For $T_2(\partial_\alpha^{k+1}\varpi_2)(\alpha)$ we integrate by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2(\partial_\alpha^{k+1}\varpi_2)(\alpha) &= \frac{-1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\Delta zh^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta zh|^2}\partial_\beta(\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta))d\beta\\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\beta(\frac{\Delta zh^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta zh|^2})\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&= -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp h(\alpha-\beta)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta zh|^2}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&+\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\Delta zh^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\Delta zh\cdot\partial_\alpha h(\alpha-\beta)}{|\Delta zh|^4}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\equiv I_1+I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy estimate I_1

$$|I_1|\leq C\|\partial_\alpha z\|_{L^\infty}\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|h\|_{H^1}\|\varpi_2\|_{H^k}.$$

For I_2, using the Cauchy inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(|\Delta zh|^2+|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2)(|\Delta zh|^2+|\partial_\alpha h(\alpha-\beta)|^2)}{|\Delta zh|^4}\partial_\alpha^k\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\\
&\leq C\|\varpi_2\|_{H^k}+C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}^2\|\varpi_2\|_{H^k}+C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}^2\|\varpi_2\|_{H^k}+C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}^2\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}^2\|\varpi_2\|_{H^k}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we use the same procedure to estimate J_1,

$$J_1\leq\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{|\Delta zh|^2+|\partial_\alpha^{k+2}z(\alpha)|^2}{|\Delta zh|^2}\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta\leq C\|\varpi_2\|_{L^2}+C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{H^{k+2}}^2\|\varpi_2\|_{L^2}.$$

Then,

$$\|T_2(\varpi_2)\|_{H^{k+1}}\leq C|||z,h|||^2\|z\|_{H^{k+2}}^2\|\varpi_2\|_{H^k}.$$

Since $T_3(\varpi_1)$ is $T_2(\varpi_2)$ changing z for h and viceverse, the estimations will be

$$\|T_3(\varpi_1)\|_{H^{k+1}}\leq C|||z,h|||^2\|h\|_{H^{k+2}}^2\|\varpi_1\|_{H^k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\mathcal{T}\varpi\|_{H^{k+1}}\leq C|||z,h|||^2(\|z\|_{H^{k+2}}^2+\|h\|_{H^{k+2}}^2)\|\varpi\|_{H^k}.$$

Since h is fixed on time, we get the desired estimate. ■

4. Estimates on $BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR(\varpi_2, h)_z + BR(\varpi_1, z)_h + BR(\varpi_2, h)_h$

This section is devoted to show that the Birkhoff-Rott integral is as regular as $\partial_\alpha z$.

Lemma 4.1. *The following estimate holds*

$$\begin{aligned}(20)\quad &\|BR(\varpi_1, z)_z\|_{H^k} + \|BR(\varpi_2, h)_z\|_{H^k} + \|BR(\varpi_1, z)_h\|_{H^k} + \|BR(\varpi_2, h)_h\|_{H^k} \\ &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|d(z, h)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|z\|^2_{H^{k+1}})\end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq 2$.

Proof. The lemma 6.1 on [8] gives us,

$$\|BR(\varpi_1, z)_z\|_{H^k} \leq\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|z\|^2_{H^{k+1}})$$

and

$$\|BR(\varpi_2, h)_h\|_{H^k} \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(h)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|h\|^2_{H^{k+1}}).$$

Using that $\|h\|^2_{H^{k+1}}$ and $\|\mathcal{F}(h)\|^2_{L^\infty}$ are not dependent of time,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|BR(\varpi_1, z)_z\|_{H^k} + \|BR(\varpi_2, h)_h\|_{H^k} \\ &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|d(z, h)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|z\|^2_{H^{k+1}}).\end{aligned}$$

Let us see what happens with $BR(\varpi_1, z)_h$ and $BR(\varpi_2, h)_z$. It is enough study one of then. For example let study $BR(\varpi_2, h)_z$. For $k = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|BR(\varpi_2, h)_z\|_{L^2} \leq C\|d(z, h)\|_{L^\infty}(\|z\|_{L^2} + \|h\|_{L^2})\|\varpi_2\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|d(z, h)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|z\|^2_{H^1}).\end{aligned}$$

If we take two derivatives, we get $BR(\varpi_2, h)_z = B_1 + B_2 + B_3 +$ "other terms" where

$$\begin{aligned}B_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta z h)^\perp}{|\Delta z h|^2}\partial^2_\alpha \varpi_2(\alpha - \beta)d\beta, \\ B_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\partial^2_\alpha z(\alpha) - \partial^2_\alpha h(\alpha - \beta))^\perp}{|\Delta z h|^2}\varpi_2(\alpha - \beta)d\beta, \\ B_3 &= -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta z h)^\perp(\Delta z h \cdot (\partial^2_\alpha z(\alpha) - \partial^2_\alpha h(\alpha - \beta)))}{|\Delta z h|^4}\varpi_2(\alpha - \beta)d\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Using the estimations in ϖ and the distance of z and h,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|B_1\|_{L^2} \leq C\|d(z, h)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty}\|\varpi_2\|_{H^2} \\ &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|d(z, h)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|z\|^2_{H^3}).\end{aligned}$$

For B_2,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|B_2\|_{L^2} \leq C\|d(z, h)\|_{L^\infty}(\|z\|_{H^2} + \|h\|_{H^2})\|\varpi_2\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|d(z, h)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|z\|^2_{H^2}).\end{aligned}$$

And B_3 will be the same,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|B_3\|_{L^2} \leq C\|d(z, h)\|_{L^\infty}(\|z\|_{H^2} + \|h\|_{H^2})\|\varpi_2\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|d(z, h)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|z\|^2_{H^2}).\end{aligned}$$

These estimations allow us to get the desire result. ∎

5. A priori estimates on $z(\alpha,t)$

In this section we want to give the following a priori estimates

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|z\|_{H^k}^2 \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^k}^2)$$
$$- \frac{\kappa^1}{2\pi(\mu^1)+\mu^2}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{A(t)}\partial_\alpha^k z(\alpha)\cdot\Lambda(\partial_\alpha^k z)(\alpha)d\alpha$$

for $k \geq 3$.

To do that, we split the computations in four subsections and we will do it for $k=3$. The case $k>3$ is left to the reader.

5.1. Estimates for the L^2 norm of the curve.

We have

$$z_t(\alpha) = BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR(\varpi_2, h)_z + c(\alpha)\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)$$

where

$$c(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha+\pi}{2\pi A(t)}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha z(\beta)\cdot(\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_1,z)_z + \partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)d\beta$$
$$- \int_\pi^\alpha \frac{\partial_\alpha z(\beta)}{A(t)}\cdot(\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_1,z)_z + \partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)d\beta.$$

Recall that $A(t) = |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}}|z(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z(\alpha)\cdot z_t(\alpha)d\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z(\alpha)\cdot BR(\varpi_1,z)_z d\alpha$$
$$+\int_{\mathbb{T}} z(\alpha)\cdot BR(\varpi_2,h)_z d\alpha + \int_{\mathbb{T}} c(\alpha)z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)d\alpha \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

Taking $I_1 + I_2 \leq \|z\|_{L^2}(\|BR(\varpi_1,z)_z\|_{L^2} + \|BR(\varpi_2,h)_z\|_{L^2})$ and the inequality (20) allow us to write,

$$I_1 + I_2 \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^1}^2).$$

Next we get,

$$I_3 \leq A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\|c\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{T}}|z(\alpha)|d\alpha \leq 2\int_{\mathbb{T}}|BR(\varpi_1,z)_z| + |BR(\varpi_2,h)_z|d\alpha\int_{\mathbb{T}}|z(\alpha)|d\alpha$$
$$\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^1}^2).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|z\|_{L^2}^2(t) \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2).$$

5.2. Estimates on the H^3 norm.

Taking the 3 derivatives on the curve, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^3 z_t(\alpha)d\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^3 BR(\varpi_1,z)_z d\alpha$$
$$+\int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^3 BR(\varpi_2,h)_z d\alpha + \int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^3(c(\alpha)\partial_\alpha z(\alpha))d\alpha$$
$$\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

Here and in the next section we will study $I_1 + I_2$. We shall estimate I_3 in section 5.4. Let estimate first the term I_2. We can split $I_2 = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4$, where

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot \partial_\alpha^3\Big(\frac{(z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\Big)\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha,$$
$$J_2 = \frac{3}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot \partial_\alpha^2\Big(\frac{(z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\Big)\partial_\alpha\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha,$$
$$J_3 = \frac{3}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot \partial_\alpha\Big(\frac{(z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\Big)\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha,$$
$$J_4 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot \frac{(z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\partial_\alpha^3\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha.$$

The most singular terms for J_1 are:

$$J_1^1 = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot \Big(\frac{(\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)-\partial_\alpha^3 h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\Big)\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha,$$
$$J_1^2 = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot \Big(\frac{(\Delta z h)^\perp \Delta z h\cdot(\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)-\partial_\alpha^3 h(\alpha-\beta))}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^4}\Big)\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha.$$

Using $\partial_\alpha^3 z\cdot\partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp = 0$,

$$|J_1^1| \le C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{H^3}\|h\|_{H^3}\|\varpi_2\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Using the same technique,

$$|J_1^2| \le C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{H^3}(\|z\|_{H^3}+\|h\|_{H^3})\|\varpi_2\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Then,

$$J_1 \le \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2).$$

The most singular term in J_2 is:

$$J_2^1 = C\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\frac{(\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)-\partial_\alpha^2 h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp}{|\Delta z h|^2}\partial_\alpha\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\beta$$
$$\le C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{H^3}(\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}+\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^2})\|\varpi_2\|_{H^1}.$$

Then,

$$J_2 \le \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2).$$

For J_3,

$$J_3^1 = C\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\frac{(\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)-\partial_\alpha h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp}{|\Delta z h|^2}\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha$$
$$\le C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}(\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}+\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^1})\|z\|_{H^3}\|\varpi_2\|_{H^2}$$
$$\le \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2).$$

Using integration by parts we will estimate J_4,

$$J_4 = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\frac{(\Delta z h)^\perp}{|\Delta z h|^2}\partial_\beta\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp h(\alpha-\beta)}{|\Delta z h|^2}\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha$$
$$+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\frac{(\Delta z h)^\perp\Delta z h\cdot\partial_\alpha h(\alpha-\beta)}{|\Delta z h|^4}\partial_\beta\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha \equiv J_4^1 + J_4^2.$$

It is clear,

$$J_4^1 \leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|z\|_{H^3}\|\varpi_2\|_{H^2},$$
$$J_4^2 \leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|z\|_{H^3}\|\varpi_2\|_{H^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$I_2 \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2).$$

5.3. **Estimations on I_1.** We can split I_1 in the following terms:

$$I_1^1 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^3(\frac{(z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp}{|z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2})\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha,$$
$$I_1^2 = \frac{3}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^2(\frac{(z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp}{|z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2})\partial_\alpha\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha,$$
$$I_1^3 = \frac{3}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha(\frac{(z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp}{|z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2})\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha,$$
$$I_1^4 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\frac{(z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp}{|z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\partial_\alpha^3\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha.$$

The terms I_1^1, I_1^2 and I_1^3 can be estimated like in the section 7.2 in [8]. Then we have to estimate I_1^4.

$$I_1^4 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot(\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp}{|\Delta z|^2} - \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{\beta|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2})\partial_\alpha^3\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha$$
$$+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot(\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{\beta|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2})\partial_\alpha^3\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha \equiv I_1^{41} + I_1^{42}.$$

Using integration by parts,

$$I_1^{41} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot(\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp}{|\Delta z|^2} - \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{\beta|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2})\partial_\beta\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\beta(\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp}{|\Delta z|^2} - \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{\beta|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2})\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha.$$

If we decompose,

$$\partial_\beta(\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp}{|\Delta z|^2} - \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2\beta})$$
$$= \frac{(\Delta\partial_\alpha z)^\perp}{|\Delta z|^2} + \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)(\frac{1}{|\Delta z|^2} - \frac{1}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2\beta^2}) - 2\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp\Delta z\cdot\Delta\partial_\alpha z}{|\Delta z|^4}$$
$$-2\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp(\Delta z-\beta\partial_\alpha z(\alpha))\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta z|^4} - 2\frac{(\Delta z-\beta\partial_\alpha z(\alpha))^\perp\beta|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}{|\Delta z|^4}$$
$$+(\frac{2\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2\beta^2} - \frac{2\beta^2\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}{|\Delta z|^4})$$
$$\equiv F_1(\alpha,\beta)+F_2(\alpha,\beta)+F_3(\alpha,\beta)+F_4(\alpha,\beta)+F_5(\alpha,\beta)+F_6(\alpha,\beta). \tag{21}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1^{41} &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot F_1(\alpha,\beta)\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha\\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot F_2(\alpha,\beta)\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha\\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot F_3(\alpha,\beta)\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha\\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot F_4(\alpha,\beta)\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha\\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot F_5(\alpha,\beta)\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha\\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot F_6(\alpha,\beta)\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta d\alpha\\
&\equiv I_1^{411}+I_1^{412}+I_1^{413}+I_1^{414}+I_1^{415}+I_1^{416}.
\end{aligned}$$

Computing

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_1(\alpha,\beta)-\frac{\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)^\perp}{\beta|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2} = \frac{\beta^2\int_0^1\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha-\beta ts)^\perp - \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)^\perp ds dt}{|\Delta z|^2}\\
&+\frac{\beta^2\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)^\perp\int_0^1\int_0^1(1-t)\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)dsdt\cdot\int_0^1\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)+\partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta+\beta t)dt}{|\Delta z|^2|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha=\alpha-\beta+\beta t+s\beta+\beta ts$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_1^{411}\le C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}\|z\|_{H^3}\|\varpi_1\|_{H^2}+C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}\|z\|_{H^3}\|\varpi_1\|_{H^2}\\
&+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\frac{\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)^\perp}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}H(\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1)(\alpha)d\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$I_1^{411}\le \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|z\|_{H^3}^2).$$

Analogously,

$$I_1^{412}\le C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^2\|z\|_{H^3}\|\varpi_1\|_{H^2}+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)\frac{\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}H(\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1)(\alpha)d\alpha.$$

Using the fact that we can split F_3, with $\phi=\alpha-\beta+\beta t$ and $\psi=\alpha-\beta+\beta t+s\beta-\beta ts$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_3(\alpha,\beta)=-2\beta^3\int_0^1\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\phi)dt\int_0^1\partial_\alpha z(\phi)dt\cdot\int_0^1\partial_\alpha^2 z(\phi)dt\Big(\frac{1}{|\Delta z|^4}-\frac{1}{\beta^4|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4}\Big)\\
&-\frac{2\int_0^1\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\phi)dt\int_0^1\partial_\alpha z(\phi)dt\cdot\int_0^1\partial_\alpha^2 z(\phi)dt}{\beta|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|\Delta z|^4}-\frac{1}{\beta^4|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4}\\
&=\frac{\beta\int_0^1\int_0^1\partial_\alpha^2 z(\psi)(t-1)dtds\cdot\int_0^1\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)+\partial_\alpha z(\phi)dt\int_0^1|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2+|\partial_\alpha z(\phi)|^2}{|\Delta z|^4|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4},
\end{aligned}$$

we get,

$$I_1^{413} \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2)$$
$$-\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)\frac{\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4}H(\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1)(\alpha)d\alpha$$
$$\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2) + C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}\|z\|_{H^3}\|\varpi_1\|_{H^2}.$$

For I_1^{414} and I_1^{415} it is easy to see that it is bounded in the same way as the above terms. Let us study the term I_1^{416}. Since,

$$-\frac{1}{2}F_6(\alpha,\beta) = \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)\frac{\beta^4|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4 - |\Delta z|^4}{|\Delta z|^4|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2\beta^2}$$
$$= \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)\frac{\beta^3\int_0^1\int_0^1(\partial_\alpha^2 z(\psi)-\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha))(1-s)dtds\int_0^1[\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)+\partial_\alpha z(\phi)]ds\int_0^1[|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2+|\partial_\alpha z(\phi)|^2]ds}{|\Delta z|^4|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}$$
$$+\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{2}\frac{\beta^4\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\int_0^1\int_0^1\partial_\alpha^2 z(\eta)(s-1)dtds\int_0^1[|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2+|\partial_\alpha z(\phi)|^2]ds}{|\Delta z|^4|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}$$
$$+\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)\frac{\beta^4\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\int_0^1\int_0^1\partial_\alpha z(\eta)\cdot\partial_\alpha^2 z(\eta)(s-1)dtds}{|\Delta z|^4|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2} + \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)\frac{\beta^3\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta z|^4}$$
$$\equiv U_1(\alpha,\beta)+U_2(\alpha,\beta)+U_3(\alpha,\beta)+U_4(\alpha,\beta)$$

we get,

$$I_1^{416} = -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot U_1(\alpha,\beta)\partial_\alpha^2\varpi(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot U_2(\alpha,\beta)\partial_\alpha^2\varpi(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta$$
$$-\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot U_3(\alpha,\beta)\partial_\alpha^2\varpi(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot U_4(\alpha,\beta)\partial_\alpha^2\varpi(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta$$
$$\equiv Q_1+Q_2+Q_3+Q_4.$$

It is clear,

$$Q_1 \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}\|z\|_{H^3}\|\varpi_1\|_{H^2},$$
$$Q_2 \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^2\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|z\|_{H^3}\|\varpi_1\|_{H^2},$$
$$Q_3 \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^2\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|z\|_{H^3}\|\varpi_1\|_{H^2},$$
$$Q_4 \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^2\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|z\|_{H^3}\|\varpi_1\|_{H^2}$$
$$-\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)\frac{\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4}H(\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1)(\alpha)d\alpha.$$

Therefore,

$$I_1^{41} \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2).$$

Now, we have to study I_1^{42}. Using $\partial_\alpha H = \Lambda$,

$$I_1^{42} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}H(\partial_\alpha^3\varpi_1)(\alpha)d\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}\Lambda(\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1)(\alpha)d\alpha$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi A(t)}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha))\partial_\alpha^2\varpi_1(\alpha)d\alpha.$$

Since $\varpi_1 = -\gamma_1 T_1(\varpi_1) - \gamma_1 T_2(\varpi_2) - N\partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha)$ we split I_1^{42},

$$I_1^{421} = \frac{-N}{2\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha) \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)) \partial_\alpha^3 z_2(\alpha) d\alpha,$$
$$I_1^{422} = \frac{-\gamma_1}{2\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)(\partial_\alpha^2 T_1(\varpi_1) + \partial_\alpha^2 T_2(\varpi_2)) d\alpha.$$

We can write $I_1^{421} = L_1 + L_2$ where

$$L_1 = \frac{N}{2\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1 \partial_\alpha z_2)(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_2(\alpha) d\alpha,$$
$$L_2 = \frac{-N}{2\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_2 \partial_\alpha z_1)(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_2(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Use the commutator estimation allow us,

$$L_1 \leq C\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}} \|z\|_{H^3}^2 + \frac{N}{2\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_2(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha$$
$$\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2) + L_1^1.$$

Since $A(t) = |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2$ if we derivate twice with ∂_α we get

$$\partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_2(\alpha) = -\partial_\alpha z_1(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_1(\alpha) - |\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)|^2.$$

Then,

$$L_1^1 = -\frac{N}{2\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)|^2 \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha - \frac{N}{2\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha z_1(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_1(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha$$
$$= \frac{N}{2\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha |\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)|^2 H(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha - \frac{N}{2\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha z_1(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_1(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha$$
$$\leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \|z\|_{H^3}^2 - \frac{N}{2\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha z_1(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_1(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

In the same way, using the commutator estimation we have,

$$L_2 \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}} \|z\|_{H^3}^2 - \frac{N}{2\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha z_1(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_2(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_2)(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Therefore,

$$I_1^{421} \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2)$$
$$- \frac{N}{2\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha z_1(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha) \cdot \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z)(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Here we can observe that a part of the Rayleigh-Taylor condition appears. Let us estimate the term I_1^{422}. We can split this term in

$$L_3 = \frac{-\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)(\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + \partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_2, h)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) d\alpha,$$
$$L_4 = \frac{-\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)(\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + \partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_2, h)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha) d\alpha,$$
$$L_5 = \frac{-\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)(BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR(\varpi_2, h)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

We will estimate $L_3 + L_4$ and then we will find the rest of the R-T condition in the estimations of the term L_5. For L_3, using integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3 &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)(\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + \partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_2, h)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha) d\alpha \\
&+ \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)(\partial_\alpha^3 BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + \partial_\alpha^3 BR(\varpi_2, h)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) d\alpha \\
&\equiv L_3^1 + L_3^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Directly, using (20)

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3^1 &\leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z\|_{L^2} (\|\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_1, z)_z\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_2, h)_z\|_{L^2}) \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \\
&\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

For L_3^2, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3^2 &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 BR(\varpi_1, z)_z \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) d\alpha \\
&+ \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 BR(\varpi_2, h)_z \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) d\alpha \equiv L_3^{21} + L_3^{22}.
\end{aligned}$$

The application of the Leibniz's rule to $\partial_\alpha^3 BR(\varpi_1, z)_z$ produces terms which can be estimated with the same tools used before. The most singular terms for L_3^{21} are

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3^{211} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \partial_\alpha (BR(\partial_\alpha^2 \varpi_1, z)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) d\alpha, \\
L_3^{212} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \frac{(\Delta \partial_\alpha^3 z)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta z|^2} \varpi_1(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha, \\
L_3^{213} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \frac{(\Delta z)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \Delta z \cdot \Delta \partial_\alpha^3 z}{|\Delta z|^4} \varpi_1(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\partial_\alpha (BR(\partial_\alpha^2 \varpi_1, z)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) = \partial_\alpha (T_1(\partial_\alpha^2 \varpi_1)) - BR(\partial_\alpha^2 \varpi_1, z)_z \cdot \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha).$$

And using the estimations on $\|\mathcal{T}\|_{L^2 \times L^2 \to H^1 \times H^1}$ and the estimations on $BR(\varpi_1, z)_z$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3^{211} &\leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z\|_{L^2} (\|T_1(\partial_\alpha^2 \varpi_1)\|_{H^1} + \|BR(\partial_\alpha^2 \varpi_1, z)_z\|_{L^2} \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}). \\
&\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

For L_3^{212} we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \Delta \partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \varpi_1(\alpha - \beta) (\frac{1}{|\Delta z|^2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2 |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}) d\beta d\alpha, \\
M_2 &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \Delta \partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \frac{\varpi_1(\alpha - \beta)}{\beta^2 |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2} d\beta d\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

If we compute $\frac{1}{|\Delta z|^2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2} = B_1 + B_2 + B_3$ where

$$B_1(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\beta\int_0^1\int_0^1 \frac{\partial_\alpha^2 z(\psi)-\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)}{|\psi-\alpha|^\delta}\beta^\delta(1+s+t-st)^\delta(1-s)dtds\int_0^1[\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)+\partial_\alpha z(\phi)]ds}{|z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2},$$
$$B_3(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\beta^2\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\int_0^1\int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^2 z(\eta)(s-1)dtds}{|z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2},$$
$$B_4(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\beta\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)2\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2},$$

we can split $M_1 = M_1^1 + M_1^2 + M_1^3$ for

$$M_1^1 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)\Delta\partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)B_1(\alpha,\beta)d\beta d\alpha,$$
$$M_1^2 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)\Delta\partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)B_2(\alpha,\beta)d\beta d\alpha,$$
$$M_1^3 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)\Delta\partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)B_3(\alpha,\beta)d\beta d\alpha.$$

It is easy see that

$$M_1^1 \le C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|\varpi_1\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{H^3}^2,$$
$$M_1^2 \le C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^2\|\varpi_1\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{H^3}^2.$$

We need to study more precisely the term M_1^3. Again, we decompose M_1^3 in the following terms:

$$M_1^{31} = \frac{2\gamma_1}{\pi A^2(t)}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)\Delta\partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)\beta\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)B(\alpha,\beta)d\beta d\alpha,$$
$$M_1^{32} = \frac{2\gamma_1}{\pi A^3(t)}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)\Delta\partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\frac{\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)}{\beta}\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)d\beta d\alpha,$$

where

$$B(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{1}{|\Delta z|^2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2} = \frac{\beta\int_0^1\int_0^1\partial_\alpha^2 z(\psi)(1-s)dtds\cdot\int_0^1[\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)+\partial_\alpha z(\phi)]ds}{|z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}.$$

Directly,

$$M_1^{31} \le C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^2\|\varpi_1\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{H^3}.$$

For M_1^{32},

$$M_1^{321} = \frac{2\gamma_1}{\pi A^3(t)}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\frac{\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)}{\beta}\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)d\beta d\alpha$$
$$= \frac{2\gamma_1}{\pi A^3(t)}\int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha)\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)H\varpi_1(\alpha)\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)d\beta d\alpha$$
$$\le C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}\|H\varpi_1\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{H^3}^2$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&M_1^{322} = \frac{2\gamma_1}{\pi A^3(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha-\beta)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \frac{\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)}{\beta} \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) d\beta d\alpha \\
&\leq C \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \|\varpi\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \|z\|_{H^3}^2 \\
&+ \frac{2\gamma_1}{\pi A^3(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) H(\partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp)(\alpha) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \varpi_1(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) d\alpha \\
&\leq C \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \|\varpi\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \|z\|_{H^3}^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $M_1 \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2)$.

For M_2 we proceed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_2^1 &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A^2(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \Delta \partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \frac{\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta) - \varpi_1(\alpha)}{\beta^2} d\beta d\alpha, \\
M_2^2 &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A^2(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp)(\alpha) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \varpi_1(\alpha) d\alpha.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way as before,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&M_2^1 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A^2(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \Lambda \varpi_1(\alpha) d\alpha \\
&+ \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A^2(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha-\beta)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \frac{\int_0^1 \partial_\alpha \varpi_1(\alpha-\beta+\beta t) - \partial_\alpha \varpi_1(\alpha) dt}{\beta} d\beta d\alpha \\
&+ \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A^2(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) H(\partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp)(\alpha) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \partial_\alpha \varpi_1(\alpha) d\alpha \\
&\leq C \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|\varpi_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\delta}} \|z\|_{H^3}^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the commutator estimation and $\Lambda H = -\partial_\alpha$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&M_2^2 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A^2(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) (\Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp)(\alpha) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \varpi_1(\alpha) - \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z \varpi_1)(\alpha)) d\alpha \\
&+ \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A^2(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z \varpi_1)(\alpha) d\alpha \\
&\leq C \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\partial_\alpha^3 z \partial_\alpha z\|_{L^2} \|\varpi_1 \partial_\alpha z\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\delta}} \|\partial_\alpha^3 z\|_{L^2} \\
&- \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A^2(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha (\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z^\perp(\alpha) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \varpi_1(\alpha) d\alpha \\
&\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2) \\
&+ \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A^2(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha (\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha) \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha) \varpi_1(\alpha) d\alpha \\
&\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2) \\
&+ C \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|\varpi_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \|z\|_{H^3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can estimate L_3^{213} as before. Then, we get the estimation for L_3^{21}.

Let us estimate L_3^{22}. The most singular terms are

$$L_3^{221} = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \partial_\alpha (BR(\partial_\alpha^2 \varpi_2, h)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

$$L_3^{222} = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \frac{(\Delta \partial_\alpha^3 z h)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\Delta z h|^2} \varpi_2(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha$$

$$L_3^{223} = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\partial_\alpha^3 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z)(\alpha) \frac{(\Delta z h)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \Delta z h \cdot \Delta \partial_\alpha^3 z h}{|\Delta z h|^4} \varpi_2(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha$$

Since

$$\partial_\alpha (BR(\partial_\alpha^2 \varpi_2, h)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha z = \partial_\alpha (T_2(\partial_\alpha^2 \varpi_2)) - BR(\partial_\alpha^2 \varpi_2, h)_z \cdot \partial_\alpha^2 z$$

then,

$$L_3^{221} \leq C \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_\alpha^3 z \partial_\alpha^\perp z\|_{L^2} (\|T_2(\partial_\alpha^2 \varpi_2)\|_{H^1} + \|BR(\partial_\alpha^2 \varpi_2, h)_z\|_{L^2} \|\partial_\alpha^2 z\|_{L^\infty})$$

Using the estimation on $\|\mathcal{T}\varpi\|_{H^1}$ and $BR(\varpi_2, h)_z$, L_3^{221} is controlled. We can get,

$$L_3^{222} \leq C \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_\alpha^3 z \partial_\alpha z\|_{L^2} (\|\partial_\alpha^3 z\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_\alpha^3 h\|_{L^2}) \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \|\varpi_2\|_{L^\infty}.$$

For L_3^{223} we get the same

$$L_3^{223} \leq C \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_\alpha^3 z\|_{L^2} (\|\partial_\alpha^3 z\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_\alpha^3 h\|_{L^2}) \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}^2 \|\varpi_2\|_{L^\infty}.$$

For L_4 integrating by parts we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} L_4 &\leq C \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_\alpha^3 z \partial_\alpha z\|_{L^2} ((\|\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_1, z)_z\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_2, h)_z\|) \|\partial_\alpha^2 z\|_{L^\infty} \\ &+ (\|\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_1, z)_z\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_2, h)_z\|_{L^\infty}) \|\partial_\alpha^3 z\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have to find $\sigma(\alpha)$ in L_5 to finish the estimations. To do that let us split $L_5 = L_5^1 + L_5^2 + L_5^3 + L_5^4$ where

$$L_5^1 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1 \partial_\alpha z_2)(\alpha) (BR_1(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR_1(\varpi_2, h)_z) \partial_\alpha^3 z_1(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

$$L_5^2 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1 \partial_\alpha z_2)(\alpha) (BR_2(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR_2(\varpi_2, h)_z) \partial_\alpha^3 z_2(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

$$L_5^3 = -\frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_2 \partial_\alpha z_1)(\alpha) (BR_1(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR_1(\varpi_2, h)_z) \partial_\alpha^3 z_1(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

$$L_5^4 = -\frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_2 \partial_\alpha z_1)(\alpha) (BR_2(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR_2(\varpi_2, h)_z) \partial_\alpha^3 z_2(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

In order to reduce the notation, we denote $BR_i = BR_i(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR_i(\varpi_2, h)_z$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} L_5^1 &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (\Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1 \partial_\alpha z_2)(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha z_2 \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z)(\alpha)) BR_1 \partial_\alpha^3 z_1(\alpha) d\alpha \\ &+ \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} BR_1 \partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_1(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha \\ &\leq C \|\partial_\alpha z\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\delta}} \|BR_1\|_{L^\infty} \|z\|_{H^3}^2 + \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} BR_1 \partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_1(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,

$$L_5^2 \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2) + L_5^{21}$$

where

$$L_5^{21} = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} BR_2 \partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_2(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Using $\partial_\alpha z_2 \partial_\alpha^3 z_2 = -\partial_\alpha z_1 \partial_\alpha^3 z_1 - |\partial_\alpha^2 z|^2$ we separate L_5^{21} in

$$L_5^{211} = -\frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} BR_2 |\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)|^2 \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha,$$
$$L_5^{212} = -\frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} BR_2 \partial_\alpha z_1(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_1(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

The fact that $\Lambda = \partial_\alpha H$ allows us to

$$\begin{aligned} L_5^{211} &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha (BR_2 |\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)|^2) H(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha \\ &\leq C(\|\partial_\alpha BR_2\|_{L^2} \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^2 + \|BR_2\|_{L^2} \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^2) \|z\|_{H^3} \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} L_5^2 &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2) \\ &- \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} BR_2 \partial_\alpha z_1(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_1(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we add $L_5^1 + L_5^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} L_5^1 + L_5^2 &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2) \\ &- \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR(\varpi_2, h)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_1(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_1)(\alpha) d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, using $\partial_\alpha z_1 \partial_\alpha^3 z_1 = -\partial_\alpha z_2 \partial_\alpha^3 z_2 - |\partial_\alpha^2 z|^2$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} L_5^3 + L_5^4 &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2) \\ &- \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR(\varpi_2, h)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z_2(\alpha) \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z_2)(\alpha) d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} L_5 &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2) \\ &- \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR(\varpi_2, h)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha) \cdot \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z)(\alpha) d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^4 &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2) \\ &- \frac{1}{\pi A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[\gamma_1 (BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR(\varpi_2, h)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha) + N \partial_\alpha z_1(\alpha)\right] \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha) \cdot \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z)(\alpha) d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\sigma(\alpha, t) = \frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{\kappa_1} (BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + BR(\varpi_2, h)_z) \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha) + (\rho_2 - \rho_1) g \partial_\alpha z_1(\alpha)$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2) \\ &- \frac{\kappa_1}{2\pi(\mu_2 + \mu_1) A(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sigma(\alpha, t) \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha) \cdot \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 z)(\alpha) d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

5.4. **Estimates on I_3.** To finish all estimation on z, we consider:

$$I_3 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^4 z(\alpha)c(\alpha)d\alpha + 3\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)|^2\partial_\alpha c(\alpha)d\alpha$$
$$+3\int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\partial_\alpha^2 c(\alpha)d\alpha + \int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\partial_\alpha^3 c(\alpha)d\alpha$$
$$I_3^1 + I_3^2 + I_3^3 + I_3^4.$$

Integrating by parts and using the definition of $c(\alpha)$,

$$I_3^1 = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)|^2\partial_\alpha c(\alpha)d\alpha \leq C\|\partial_\alpha c\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_\alpha^3 z\|_{L^2}^2$$
$$\leq 2C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_1,z)_z\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_2,h)_z\|_{L^\infty})\|\partial_\alpha^3 z\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Since $I_3^2 = -6I_3^1$ we have I_3^2 controlled. Computing $\partial_\alpha^2 c$,

$$\partial_\alpha^2 c(\alpha) = -\frac{\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)}{A(t)}\cdot(\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_1,z)_z + \partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)$$
$$-\frac{\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{A(t)}\cdot(\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_1,z)_z + \partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_2,h)_z).$$

Thus,

$$I_3^3 = -3\int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\frac{\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)}{A(t)}\cdot(\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_1,z)_z + \partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)d\alpha$$
$$-3\int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\frac{\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{A(t)}\cdot(\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_1,z)_z + \partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)d\alpha$$
$$\equiv I_3^{31} + I_3^{32}$$

where

$$I_3^{31} \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^2(\|\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_1,z)_z\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_2,h)_z\|_{L^\infty})\|\partial_\alpha^3 z\|_{L^2},$$
$$I_3^{32} \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}(\|\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_1,z)_z\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_2,h)_z\|_{L^2})\|\partial_\alpha^3 z\|_{L^2}.$$

Using the estimation on $\|BR(\varpi_1,z)_z\|_{H^k} + \|BR(\varpi_2,h)_z\|_{H^k}$ we obtain,

$$I_3^3 \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2).$$

Since $|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2 = A(t)$, if we differentiate respect to α:

$$0 = 2|\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)|^2 + 2\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha) \Rightarrow \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha) = -|\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)|^2.$$

Then, integrating by parts

$$I_3^4 = -\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)|^2\partial_\alpha^3 c(\alpha)d\alpha = 2\int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^3 z(\alpha)\partial_\alpha^2 c(\alpha)d\alpha = \frac{2}{3}I_3^3.$$

Therefore,

$$I_3^4 \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^3}^2).$$

Putting together all above estimates, since the case for $k > 3$ is straighforward we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|z\|_{H^k}^2 \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z\|_{H^k}^2)$$
$$-\frac{\kappa^1}{2\pi(\mu^1)+\mu^2}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{A(t)}\partial_\alpha^k z(\alpha)\cdot\Lambda(\partial_\alpha^k z)(\alpha)d\alpha$$

for $k \geq 3$.

6. Evolution of the distance between z and h

Remind that we relate the distance of the curve z with h through the function

$$d(z,h)=\frac{1}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}$$

Lemma 6.1. *The following estimate holds*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|z\|_{H^3}^2).$$

Proof. If we take $p>1$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|d(z,h)\|_{L^p}^p(t)=\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{1}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^{2p}}d\alpha d\beta$$
$$=-2p\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{(z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta))\cdot z_t(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^{2p+2}}d\alpha d\beta$$
$$=-2p\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{z(\alpha)\cdot z_t(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^{2p+2}}d\alpha d\beta+2p\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{h(\alpha-\beta)\cdot z_t(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^{2p+2}}d\alpha d\beta$$
$$=-2p\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{z(\alpha)\cdot(BR(\varpi_1,z)_z+BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^{2p+2}}d\alpha d\beta$$
$$-2p\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{z(\alpha\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)c(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^{2p+2}}d\alpha d\beta+2p\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{h(\alpha-\beta)\cdot(BR(\varpi_1,z)_z+BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^{2p+2}}d\alpha d\beta$$
$$+2p\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{h(\alpha-\beta)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)c(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^{2p+2}}d\alpha d\beta\equiv J_1+J_2+J_3+J_4.$$

It is easy to see that

$$J_1\leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{L^2}\|BR(\varpi_1,z)_z+BR(\varpi_2,h)_z\|_{L^2}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{1}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^{2p}}d\alpha d\beta$$
$$\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|z\|_{H^3}^2)\|d(z,h)\|_{L^p}^p,$$
$$J_3\leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|h\|_{L^2}\|BR(\varpi_1,z)_z+BR(\varpi_2,h)_z\|_{L^2}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{1}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^{2p}}d\alpha d\beta$$
$$\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|z\|_{H^3}^2)\|d(z,h)\|_{L^p}^p,$$
$$J_2\leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|c\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{1}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^{2p}}d\alpha d\beta$$
$$\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|z\|_{H^3}^2)\|d(z,h)\|_{L^p}^p,$$

and

$$J_4\leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|c\|_{L^\infty}\|h\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{1}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^{2p}}d\alpha d\beta$$
$$\leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|z\|_{H^3}^2)\|d(z,h)\|_{L^p}^p.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|d(z,h)\|_{L^p}^p\leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2\|d(z,h)\|_{L^p}^p.$$

Let integrate on t,

$$\|d(z,h)\|_{L^p}(t+h)\leq\|d(z,h)\|_{L^p}(t)\exp(\int_t^{t+h}\exp C|||z,h|||^2(s)ds).$$

If we take $p\to\infty$ we get

$$\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}(t+h)\leq\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}(t)\exp(\int_t^{t+h}\exp C|||z,h|||^2(s)ds),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}(t) &= \lim_{h\to 0}\Big(\frac{\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}(t+h)-\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}(t)}{h}\Big)\\ &\le \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}(t)\lim_{h\to 0}\Big(\frac{\exp\int_t^{t+h}\exp|||z,h|||^2(s)ds-1}{h}\Big)\\ &\le \|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}(t)\exp|||z,h|||^2(t).\end{aligned}$$

■

7. Evolution of the minimum of $\sigma(\alpha,t)$

We know that

$$\sigma(\alpha,t)=\frac{\mu^2-\mu^1}{\kappa^1}(BR(\varpi_1,z)_z+BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)+(\rho^2-\rho^1)g\partial_\alpha z_1(\alpha).$$

Lemma 7.1. *Let $z(\alpha,t)$ be a solution of the system with $z(\alpha,t)\in\mathcal{C}^1([0,T];H^3)$ and $m(t)=\min_{\alpha\in\mathbb{T}}\sigma(\alpha,t)$. Then*

$$m(t)\ge m(0)-\int_0^t\exp C|||z,h|||^2(s)ds.$$

Recall that

$$\exp C|||z,h|||^2=\exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|z\|_{H^3}^2).$$

Proof. We consider $\alpha_t\in\mathbb{T}$ such that

$$m(t)=\min_{\alpha\in\mathbb{T}}\sigma(\alpha,t)=\sigma(\alpha_t,t).$$

We may calculate the derivate of $m(t)$, to obtain

$$m'(t)=\sigma_t(\alpha_t,t).$$

Using the definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t(\alpha,t)&=\frac{\mu^2-\mu^1}{\kappa^1}(\partial_tBR(\varpi_1,z)_z+\partial_tBR(\varpi_2,h)_z)\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)\\ &+\Big(\frac{\mu^2-\mu^1}{\kappa^1}(BR(\varpi_1,z)_z+BR(\varpi_2,h)_z)\cdot\partial_\alpha^\perp z_t(\alpha)+(\rho^2-\rho^1)g\partial_\alpha\partial_t z_1(\alpha)\Big)\\ &\equiv I_1+I_2.\end{aligned}$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned}|I_2|&\le C(\|BR(\varpi_1,z)_z+BR(\varpi_2,h)_z\|_{L^\infty}+1)\|\partial_\alpha z_t\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\le\exp C|||z,h|||^2\|\partial_\alpha z_t\|_{L^\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the equation of z_t we can calculate the estimations of $\|\partial_\alpha z_t\|_{L^\infty}$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_\alpha z_t\|_{L^\infty}&\le\|BR(\varpi_1,z)_z\|_{L^\infty}+\|BR(\varpi_2,h)_z\|_{L^\infty}+\|\partial_\alpha c\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_\alpha z\|_{L^\infty}\\ &+\|c\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_\alpha^2 z\|_{L^\infty}\le\exp C|||z,h|||^2\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

then we obtain

$$|I_2|\le\exp C|||z,h|||^2.$$

Let us write $\partial_t BR(\varpi_1,z)_z = B_1+B_2+B_3$ where

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp}{|\Delta z|^2}\partial_t\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta,$$
$$B_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(\Delta z_t)^\perp}{|\Delta z|^2}\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta,$$
$$B_3 = -\frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp\Delta z\cdot\Delta z_t}{|\Delta z|^4}\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta.$$

We split B_1 in the following way,

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\frac{(\Delta z)^\perp}{|\Delta z|^2}-\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{\beta|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2})\partial_t\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta+\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}H(\partial_t\varpi_1)(\alpha).$$

Then,

$$|B_1|\le C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}\|\partial_t\varpi_1\|_{L^2}+\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\partial_t\varpi_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta}.$$

For estimate B_2 we separate

$$B_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta z_t)^\perp(\frac{1}{|\Delta z|^2}-\frac{1}{\beta^2|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2})\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta$$
$$+\frac{1}{2\pi}PV\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(\Delta z_t)^\perp}{\beta^2|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta\equiv B_2^1+B_2^2.$$

Since,

$$z_t(\alpha)-z_t(\alpha-\beta) = ((BR(\varpi_1,z)_z(\alpha)-BR(\varpi_1,z)_z(\alpha-\beta))$$
$$+(BR(\varpi_2,h)_z(\alpha)-BR(\varpi_2,h)_z(\alpha-\beta)))+(c(\alpha)-c(\alpha-\beta))\partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta)$$
$$+c(\alpha-\beta)(\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)-\partial_\alpha z(\alpha-\beta))\equiv J_1+J_2+J_3$$

we have,

$$J_1 = \beta\int_0^1\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_1,z)_z(\alpha-\beta+t\beta)+\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_2,h)_z(\alpha-\beta+t\beta)dt \tag{23}$$
$$\le|\beta|(\|\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_1,z)_z\|_{L^\infty}+\|\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_2,h)_z\|_{L^\infty}),$$

$$J_2\le\frac{|\beta|}{A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)}(\|\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_1,z)_z\|_{L^\infty}+\|\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_2,h)_z\|_{L^\infty}) \tag{24}$$

and

$$J_3 = c(\alpha-\beta)\beta\int_0^1\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha-\beta+t\beta)dt\le\|c\|_{L^\infty}|\beta|\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}. \tag{25}$$

Computing $\frac{1}{|\Delta z|^2}-\frac{1}{\beta^2|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2}$ and using (23), (24) and (25), we get

$$|B_2^1|\le C\exp C|||z,h|||^2\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|z\|_{H^2}\|\varpi_1\|_{L^2}.$$

Since,

$$\Delta z_t = \beta^2\int_0^1\int_0^1\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha-\beta s+\beta ts)(1-t)dsdt+\beta\partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha)$$

then

$$B_2^2\le C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_\alpha^2 z_t\|_{L^2}\|\varpi_1\|_{L^2}+C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_\alpha z_t\|_{L^\infty}\|H(\varpi_1)\|_{L^2}.$$

Using (22) and

$$\|\partial_\alpha^2 z_t\|_{L^2}\le\|\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_1,z)_z+\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_2,h)_z\|_{L^2}+\|\partial_\alpha^2 c\|_{L^2}\|\partial_\alpha z\|_{L^\infty} \tag{26}$$
$$+\|\partial_\alpha c\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_\alpha^2 z\|_{L^2}+\|c\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_\alpha^3 z\|_{L^2},$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\alpha^2 c(\alpha) =& -\frac{\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)}{A(t)}(\partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + \partial_\alpha BR(\varpi_2, h)_z)\\ &- \frac{\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{A(t)}(\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_1, z)_z + \partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_2, h)_z),\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$B_2^2 \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2.$$

Using the same proceeding, we have $B_3 \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2$.

On the other hand, we split $\partial_t BR(\varpi_2, h)_z = C_1 + C_2 + C_3$ where,

$$\begin{aligned}C_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta zh)^\perp}{|\Delta zh|^2}\partial_t \varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta,\\ C_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_t^\perp z(\alpha)}{|\Delta zh|^2}\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta,\\ C_3 &= -\frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta zh)^\perp \Delta zh\cdot\partial_t z(\alpha)}{|\Delta z|^4}\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\alpha d\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}C_1 &\leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\partial_t\varpi_2\|_{L^2},\\ C_2 &\leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_t z\|_{L^\infty}\|\varpi_2\|_{L^2} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2,\\ C_3 &\leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_\alpha z_t\|_{L^\infty}\|\varpi_2\|_{L^2} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2.\end{aligned}$$

We only need to know what happen with $\|\partial_t\varpi_1\|_{L^2}$, $\|\partial_t\varpi_2\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\varpi_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta}$. Using the definitions of $\partial_t\varpi_1$ and $\partial_t\varpi_2$ we can see that

$$\varpi_t + M\mathcal{T}(\varpi_t) = -M\mathbb{R}\varpi - \begin{pmatrix} N\partial_t\partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha)\\ 0\end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\mathbb{R} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & R_2\\ R_3 & 0\end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}R_1(\varpi_1) &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t\Big(\frac{(z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\Big)\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta,\\ R_2(\varpi_2) &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t\Big(\frac{(z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\Big)\varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta,\\ R_3(\varpi_1) &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t\Big(\frac{(h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta))^\perp\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|h(\alpha)-z(\alpha-\beta)|^2}\Big)\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\|\varpi_t\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \|(I+M\mathcal{T})^{-1}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\|\mathbb{R}\varpi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\partial_\alpha z_t\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}).$$

Therefore, it is clear that in order to control $\|\varpi_t\|_{L^2}$ we only need to estimate $\|\mathbb{R}\varpi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}$. To do that, let us estimate $\|\mathbb{R}\varpi\|_{H^1}$:

Let separates $R_1(\varpi_1) = S_1 + S_2 + S_3$ where

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z_t(\alpha) - z_t(\alpha-\beta))^{\perp} \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta)|^2} \varpi_1(\alpha-\beta) d\beta,$$
$$S_2 = \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta))^{\perp} \cdot \partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta)|^2} \varpi_1(\alpha-\beta) d\beta,$$
$$S_3 = -\frac{2}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta z)^{\perp} \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \Delta z \cdot \Delta z_t}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta)|^4} \varpi_1(\alpha-\beta) d\beta.$$

We will estimate $\partial_\alpha S_1$, the other terms $\partial_\alpha S_2$ and $\partial_\alpha S_3$ are estimated with the same procedure.

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\alpha S_1 &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha-\beta))^{\perp} \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta)|^2} \varpi_1(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \\
&+ \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z_t(\alpha) - z_t(\alpha-\beta))^{\perp} \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta)|^2} \partial_\alpha \varpi_1(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \\
&+ \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z_t(\alpha) - z_t(\alpha-\beta))^{\perp} \cdot \partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta)|^2} \varpi_1(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \\
&- \frac{2}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta z_t)^{\perp} \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \Delta z \cdot \Delta \partial_\alpha z}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta)|^4} \varpi_1(\alpha-\beta) d\beta \\
&\equiv S_1^1 + S_1^2 + S_1^3 + S_1^4.
\end{aligned}$$

As we could see in the evolution of the arc-chord condition, using the definitions (23), (24) and (25), we can write $\Delta z_t = J_1 + J_2 + J_3$.

For S_1^1, we split

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1^1 &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha-\beta))^{\perp} \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta)|^2} (\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta) - \varpi_1(\alpha)) d\beta \\
&+ \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha-\beta))^{\perp} \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha-\beta)|^2} \varpi_1(\alpha) d\beta \\
&\equiv S_1^{11} + S_1^{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta) - \varpi_1(\alpha) = \beta \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha \varpi_1(\alpha - \beta t) dt$ and $\partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha-\beta) = \beta \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^2 z_t(\alpha + \beta - \beta t) dt$ and we have seen (26) then we have controlled S_1^{11}.

For S_1^{12}, computing

$$B(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{1}{|\Delta z|^2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2 |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2} = \frac{\beta \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^2 z(\psi)(t-1) dt ds \cdot \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) + \partial_\alpha z(\phi) dt}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2 |\Delta z|^2}$$

we split

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1^{12} &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\beta \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^2 z_t(\alpha - \beta + \beta t)^{\perp}) dt \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) B(\alpha,\beta) \varpi_1(\alpha) d\beta \\
&+ \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha-\beta))^{\perp} \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{\beta^2 |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2} \varpi_1(\alpha) d\beta \\
&\leq \exp C |||z, h|||^2 + S_1^{121}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have

$$S_1^{121} = \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^{\perp} z_t) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \frac{\varpi_1(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2},$$

then

$$|S_1^{121}| \leq \|\mathcal{F}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \|\varpi_1\|_{L^\infty} |\Lambda(\partial_\alpha z_t)|.$$

Thus,

$$\|S_1^1\|_{L^2} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2 \|\Lambda(\partial_\alpha z_t)\|_{L^2} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2 \|\partial_\alpha^2 z_t\|_{L^2} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2.$$

For S_1^2 we do the same thing,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1^2 &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^\perp z_t(\alpha-\beta+\beta t)dt \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) B(\alpha,\beta)\partial_\alpha \varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \\
&+ \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^\perp z_t(\alpha-\beta+\beta t)dt \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{\beta|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2} \partial_\alpha \varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \\
&\leq \exp c|||z,h|||^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\int_0^1\int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^2 z_t(\alpha-\beta s+\beta t s)^\perp (1-t)dsdt \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2} \partial_\alpha \varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \\
&+ \frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z_t(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2} H(\partial_\alpha \varpi_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (22) and (26)

$$\|S_1^2\|_{L^2} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2.$$

For S_1^3 exactly the same as in S_1^2. And for S_1^4, computing:

$$\begin{aligned}
C(\alpha,\beta) &= \frac{1}{|\Delta z|^4} - \frac{1}{\beta^4|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4} \\
&= \frac{\beta\int_0^1\int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^2 z(\psi)(t-1)dsdt \cdot \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)+\partial_\alpha z(\phi)dt \int_0^1 |\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^2 + |\partial_\alpha z(\phi)|^2 dt}{|\Delta z|^4|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi = \alpha-\beta+\beta t+\beta s-\beta ts$ and $\phi = \alpha-\beta+\beta t$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1^4 &= -\frac{2}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta^3 \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha z_t(\phi)^\perp dt \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha z(\phi)dt \cdot \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^2 z(\phi)dt C(\alpha,\beta)\varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \\
&- \frac{2}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\int_0^1 \partial_\alpha z_t(\phi)^\perp dt \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha z(\phi)dt \cdot \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha^2 z(\phi)dt}{\beta|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4} \varpi_1(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \\
&\leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2 + S_1^{41}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to get

$$S_1^{41} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2 - 2\frac{\partial_\alpha^\perp z_t(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4} H(\varpi_1).$$

Then,

$$\|S_1^4\|_{L^2} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2.$$

Therefore, $\|\partial_\alpha S_1\|_{L^2} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2$. We have controlled $\|\partial_\alpha S_2\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_\alpha S_3\|_{L^2}$ in the same way. Now let us estimate $\|\partial_\alpha R_2\|_{L^2}$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2(\varpi_2) &= \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{z_t^\perp(\alpha)\cdot\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^2} \varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \\
&+ \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta))^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z_t(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^2} \varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \\
&- \frac{2}{\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\Delta z h)^\perp \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha)\Delta z h \cdot z_t(\alpha)}{|z(\alpha)-h(\alpha-\beta)|^4} \varpi_2(\alpha-\beta)d\beta \\
&\equiv S_4 + S_5 + S_6.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$S_4 \leq C\|d(z,h)\|_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|z_t\|_{L^2}\|\varpi_1\|_{L^2},$$
$$S_5 \leq C\|d(z,h)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|\varpi_1\|_{L^2},$$
$$S_6 \leq C\|d(z,h)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty}\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\|z_t\|_{L^2}\|\varpi_1\|_{L^2}.$$

In conclusion,

$$\|\partial_\alpha R_2(\varpi_2)\|_{L^2} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2.$$

Moreover, $\partial_\alpha R_3$ is like $\partial_\alpha R_2$ changing z with h, then $\|\partial_\alpha R_3(\varpi_1)\|_{L^2} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2$. Thus, we have controlled $\|\partial_t\varpi_1\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\partial_t\varpi_2\|_{L^2}$. Finally, in order to control $\|\partial_t\varpi_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta}$ we will use

$$\|\partial_t\varpi_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta} \leq C(\|T_1(\partial_t\varpi_1)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta} + \|T_2(\partial_t\varpi_2)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta} + \|R_1(\varpi_1)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta} + \|R_2(\varpi_2)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta} + \|\partial_\alpha z_t\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta}).$$

Using the Lemma 2.1,

$$\|T_1(\varpi_1)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta} \leq \|T_1(\varpi_1)\|_{H^1} \leq C\|\mathcal{F}(z)\|^2_{L^\infty}\|z\|^4_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}\|\partial_t\varpi_1\|_{L^2},$$
$$\|T_2(\varpi_2)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta} \leq \|T_2(\varpi_2)\|_{H^1} \leq C\|d(z,h)\|^2_{L^\infty}\|h\|^4_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}}\|\partial_t\varpi_2\|_{L^2},$$

for $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$. We have already seen $\|R_1(\varpi_1)\|_{H^1} + \|R_2(\varpi_2)\|_{H^1} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2$ then

$$\|R_1(\varpi_1)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta} + \|R_2(\varpi_2)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta} \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2.$$

Finally let us observe that $\|\partial_\alpha z_t\|_{\mathcal{C}^\delta} \leq \|z_t\|_{H^2}$ which is controlled by $\|\partial^2_\alpha z_t\|_{L^2}$. The upper bound

$$|\sigma_t(\alpha,t)| \leq \exp C|||z,h|||^2$$

gives us

$$m'(t) \geq -\exp C|||z,h|||^2$$

for almost every t. And a futher integration yields

$$m(t) \geq m(0) - \int_0^t \exp C|||z,h|||^2(s)ds.$$

■

8. Conclusion of the Local-existence

This step is classical, then we only sketch this procedure. We regularize the problem as follows:

$$z^\varepsilon_t(\alpha,t) = BR(\varpi^\varepsilon_1, z^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon}(\alpha,t) + BR(\varpi^\varepsilon_2, h^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon}(\alpha,t) + c^\varepsilon(\alpha,t)\partial_\alpha z^\varepsilon(\alpha,t)$$
$$z^\varepsilon(\alpha,0) = \phi_\varepsilon * z_0(\alpha)$$

where

$$c^\varepsilon(\alpha,t) = \frac{\alpha+\pi}{2\pi A^\varepsilon(t)}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_\alpha z^\varepsilon(\beta,t)\cdot\partial_\alpha(BR(\varpi^\varepsilon_1,z^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon} + BR(\varpi^\varepsilon_2,h^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon})d\beta$$
$$-\int_{-\pi}^{\alpha}\frac{\partial_\alpha z^\varepsilon(\beta,t)}{A^\varepsilon(t)}\cdot\partial_\alpha(BR(\varpi^\varepsilon_1,z^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon} + BR(\varpi^\varepsilon_2,h^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon})d\beta,$$
$$\varpi^\varepsilon_1(\alpha,t) = -2\frac{\mu^2-\mu^1}{\mu^2+\mu^1}\phi_\varepsilon * \phi_\varepsilon * (BR(\varpi^\varepsilon_1,z^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon} + BR(\varpi^\varepsilon_2,h^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon})\cdot\partial_\alpha z^\varepsilon(\alpha,t)$$
$$-2\kappa^1\frac{\rho^2-\rho^1}{\mu^2+\mu^1}g\phi_\varepsilon * \phi_\varepsilon * \partial_\alpha z^\varepsilon_2(\alpha,t)$$
$$\varpi_2(\alpha,t) = -2\frac{\kappa^2-\kappa^1}{\kappa^2+\kappa^1}\phi_\varepsilon * \phi_\varepsilon * (BR(\varpi^\varepsilon_1,z^\varepsilon)_{h^\varepsilon} + BR(\varpi^\varepsilon_2,h^\varepsilon)_{h^\varepsilon})\cdot\partial_\alpha h^\varepsilon(\alpha)$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$, $\phi(\alpha) \geq 0$, $\phi(-\alpha) = \phi(\alpha)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\alpha) d\alpha = 1$ and $\phi_\varepsilon(\alpha) = \phi(\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon})/\varepsilon$. Using the same techniques that in the above secction, we can prove that:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|z^\varepsilon\|_{H^k}^2(t) \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z^\epsilon)\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2 + \|d(z^\varepsilon, h)\|_{L^\infty} + \|z^\epsilon\|_{H^k(S)}^2)$$
$$- \frac{\kappa^1}{2\pi(\mu^2+\mu^1)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\sigma^\varepsilon(\alpha,t)}{A^\varepsilon(t)} \phi_\varepsilon * \partial_\alpha^k z^\varepsilon \cdot \Lambda(\phi_\varepsilon * \partial_\alpha^k z^\varepsilon) d\alpha$$

where

$$\sigma^\varepsilon(\alpha,t) = \frac{\mu^2-\mu^1}{\kappa^1}(BR(\varpi_1^\varepsilon, z^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon} + BR(\varpi_2^\varepsilon, h^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon}) \cdot \partial_\alpha^\perp z^\varepsilon(\alpha,t) + g(\rho^2-\rho^1)\partial_\alpha z^\varepsilon(\alpha,t)$$

The next step is to integrate during a time T independent of ε. Let us observe that if $\phi_\varepsilon * z_0(\alpha) \in H^k$, then we have the solution $z^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,T^\varepsilon], H^k)$. If $\sigma(\alpha,0) > 0$, there exists T^ε dependent of ε where $\sigma^\varepsilon(\alpha,t) > 0$. Then for $t \leq T^\varepsilon$ with our a priori estimates and the fact that

$$f(\alpha)\Lambda f(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda(f^2)(\alpha) \geq 0$$

we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|z^\varepsilon\|_{H^k}^2(t) \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z^\epsilon)\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2 + \|d(z^\varepsilon, h)\|_{L^\infty} + \|z^\epsilon\|_{H^k(S)}^2)$$
$$- \frac{\kappa^1}{4\pi(\mu^2+\mu^1)A^\varepsilon(t)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sigma^\varepsilon(\alpha,t)\Lambda(|\phi_\varepsilon * \partial_\alpha^k z^\varepsilon|^2)(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Since

$$\|\Lambda\sigma^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|\sigma^\varepsilon\|_{H^2} \leq C(\|BR(\varpi_1^\varepsilon, z^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon} + BR(\varpi_2^\varepsilon, h^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}$$
$$+ \|\partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_1^\varepsilon, z^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon} + \partial_\alpha^2 BR(\varpi_2^\varepsilon, h^\varepsilon)_{z^\varepsilon}\|_{L^2} + 1)\|z^\varepsilon\|_{H^3},$$

then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|z^\varepsilon\|_{H^k}^2(t) \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z^\epsilon)\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2 + \|d(z^\varepsilon, h)\|_{L^\infty} + \|z^\epsilon\|_{H^k(S)}^2).$$

In the same way as in 6 we can check that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathcal{F}(z^\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z^\epsilon)\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2 + \|d(z^\varepsilon, h)\|_{L^\infty} + \|z^\epsilon\|_{H^k(S)}^2).$$

And we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|d(z^\varepsilon, h^\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z^\epsilon)\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2 + \|d(z^\varepsilon, h)\|_{L^\infty} + \|z^\epsilon\|_{H^k(S)}^2).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|\mathcal{F}(z^\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z^\varepsilon, h^\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z^\varepsilon\|_{H^k}^2) \leq \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z^\epsilon)\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2 + \|d(z^\varepsilon, h)\|_{L^\infty} + \|z^\epsilon\|_{H^k(S)}^2).$$

Integrating,

$$\|\mathcal{F}(z^\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z^\varepsilon, h^\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z^\varepsilon\|_{H^k}^2$$
$$\leq -\frac{1}{C}\ln(-t + \exp(-C(\|\mathcal{F}(z_0)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z_0,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z_0\|_{H^k}^2))).$$

Since $m^\varepsilon(t) \geq m(0) - \int_0^t \exp C(\|\mathcal{F}(z^\epsilon)\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2 + \|d(z^\varepsilon, h)\|_{L^\infty} + \|z^\epsilon\|_{H^k(S)}^2)(s) ds$, where $m^\varepsilon(t) = \min_{\alpha\in\mathbb{T}} \sigma^\varepsilon(\alpha,t)$ for $t \leq T^\varepsilon$, using the above estimations

$$m^\epsilon(t) \geq m(0) + C(\|\mathcal{F}(z_0)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z_0,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z_0\|_{H^k}^2)$$
$$+ \ln(-t + \exp(-C(\|\mathcal{F}(z_0)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z_0,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z_0\|_{H^k}^2)))$$

for $t \leq T^\varepsilon$. Now if we $\varepsilon \to 0$ we have $T^\varepsilon \nrightarrow 0$. This is because if we take $T = \min(T^1, T^2)$ where T^1 satisfies,

$$m(0) + C(\|\mathcal{F}(z_0)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z_0,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z_0\|_{H^k}^2) + \ln(-T^1 + \exp(-C(\|\mathcal{F}(z_0)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z_0,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z_0\|_{H^k}^2))) > 0$$

and T_2 satisfies,

$$-\frac{1}{C}\ln(-T^2 + \exp(-C(\|\mathcal{F}(z_0)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z_0,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z_0\|_{H^k}^2))) < \infty.$$

For $t \leq T$ we have $m^\varepsilon(t) > 0$ and

$$\|\mathcal{F}(z^\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z^\varepsilon,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z^\varepsilon\|_{H^k}^2 \leq -\frac{1}{C}\ln(-T^2 + \exp(-C(\|\mathcal{F}(z_0)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|d(z_0,h)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|z_0\|_{H^k}^2))) < \infty$$

and T only depend on z_0. Then, we have local existence when $\varepsilon \to 0$.

References

[1] Luigi C. Berselli, Diego Córdoba, and Rafael Granero-Belinchón. Local solvability and turning for the inhomogeneous Muskat problem. *Interfaces Free Bound.*, 16(2):175–213, 2014.

[2] Angel Castro, Diego Córdoba, Charles Fefferman, and Francisco Gancedo. Splash singularities for the one-phase Muskat problem in stable regimes. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 222(1):213–243, 2016.

[3] Ángel Castro, Diego Córdoba, Charles Fefferman, Francisco Gancedo, and María López-Fernández. Rayleigh-Taylor breakdown for the Muskat problem with applications to water waves. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 175(2):909–948, 2012.

[4] C. H. Arthur Cheng, Rafael Granero-Belinchón, and Steve Shkoller. Well-posedness of the Muskat problem with H^2 initial data. *Adv. Math.*, 286:32–104, 2016.

[5] Peter Constantin, Diego Córdoba, Francisco Gancedo, Luis Rodríguez-Piazza, and Robert M. Strain. On the Muskat problem: global in time results in 2D and 3D. *Amer. J. Math.*, 138(6):1455–1494, 2016.

[6] Peter Constantin, Diego Córdoba, Francisco Gancedo, and Robert M. Strain. On the global existence for the Muskat problem. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 15(1):201–227, 2013.

[7] Peter Constantin, Francisco Gancedo, Roman Shvydkoy, and Vlad Vicol. Global regularity for 2D Muskat equations with finite slope. *Ann. I. H. Poincar-AN*, 2016.

[8] Antonio Córdoba, Diego Córdoba, and Francisco Gancedo. Interface evolution: the Hele-Shaw and Muskat problems. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(1):477–542, 2011.

[9] Diego Córdoba and Francisco Gancedo. Contour dynamics of incompressible 3-D fluids in a porous medium with different densities. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 273(2):445–471, 2007.

[10] Joachim Escher and Bogdan-Vasile Matioc. On the parabolicity of the Muskat problem: well-posedness, fingering, and stability results. *Z. Anal. Anwend.*, 30(2):193–218, 2011.

[11] Rafael Granero-Belinchón. Global existence for the confined muskat problem. *SIAM J. Math. Analysis*, 46(2):1651–1680, 2014.

[12] Lord Rayleigh. On The Instability Of Jets. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, S1-10(1):4.

[13] P. G. Saffman and Geoffrey Taylor. The penetration of a fluid into a porous medium or Hele-Shaw cell containing a more viscous liquid. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London. Ser. A*, 245:312–329. (2 plates), 1958.

[14] Michael Siegel, Russel E. Caflisch, and Sam Howison. Global existence, singular solutions, and ill-posedness for the Muskat problem. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 57(10):1374–1411, 2004.